



A SAM-Based Model, Constructed from the SNA, to be Used for Studying the Distributional Impacts of Government Policies in Portugal

58 Pages • Posted: 14 Jul 2008

[Susana Santos](#)

ISEG (School of Economics and Management) of the University of Lisboa; UECE (Research Unit on Complexity and Economics) and DE (Department of Economics)

Date Written: May 30, 2008

Suggested Citation:

Santos, Susana, A SAM-Based Model, Constructed from the SNA, to be Used for Studying the Distributional Impacts of Government Policies in Portugal (May 30, 2008). Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=1159389> or <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.1159389>

**A SAM-based Model, constructed from the SNA, to be used for studying
the distributional impacts of government policies in Portugal.**

Susana Santos

ISEG (School of Economics and Management)/TULisboa – Technical University of Lisbon;
UECE – Research Unit on Complexity and Economics and DE – Department of Economics
Rua Miguel Lupi, 20, 1249-078 Lisboa, Portugal
Tel.: +351 21 392 59 53
Fax: +351 21 397 11 96
E-mail: ssantos@iseg.utl.pt.

Key Words: Social Accounting Matrix; SAM-based Models; Income distribution.

JEL Classification: D58; E10; E01; E61.

(May 2008)

Presented to the *EcoMod2008 - International Conference on Policy Modeling*, held in Berlin, Germany, on 3 July 2008.

Financial support by FCG (*Fundação Calouste Gulbenkian*) and FCT (*Fundação para a Ciência e a Tecnologia*) - Multi-annual Funding Project.

Abstract

An attempt will be made to study the impacts of government policies on the distribution of income, paying close attention to the corresponding response of the different macroeconomic aggregates and balances.

The Social Accounting Matrix (SAM) will be chosen as the working instrument. A numerical version of the SAM, constructed from the System of National Accounts (SNA), will serve as the basis for the construction of an algebraic version of the same matrix for Portugal.

This methodological choice was linked to the fact that, underlying the SAM, there are interrelated subsystems that, in the numerical version of the matrix, provide an analytical picture of the circular flow or general equilibrium interactions of the market economy, when studied during a particular accounting period. On the other hand, in the algebraic version of the SAM, it is possible to measure and quantify the economy-wide effects of changes in the particular nominal flows represented by the numerical version (injections from and leakages into the system), which might be the result of policy measures.

To this end, a computable (numerically solvable) general (economy-wide) equilibrium (macroeconomic balance) approach will be adopted.

A SAM-based model will be constructed in perfect consonance with the SNA, in which each cell is defined with a linear equation or system of equations, whose components are all the known and quantified transactions of that system, using the parameters of the numerical SAM that served as the basis for this model.

Scenarios will be defined and analysed from experiments carried out in relation to the distributional impact of government policies.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. The numerical version of the SAM	2
3. The algebraic version of the SAM	13
3.1. Framework and assumptions	13
3.2. Specification by SAM blocks of sub-matrices	14
3.2.1. Compensation of factors of production	15
3.2.2. Production	17
3.2.3. External Trade	18
3.2.4. Net indirect taxes or net taxes on production and imports	18
3.2.5. Trade and Transport Margins	20
3.2.6. Domestic Trade	20
3.2.6.1. Intermediate Consumption	21
3.2.6.2. Final Consumption	21
3.2.6.3. Gross Capital Formation	22
3.2.7. Current Transfers	22
3.2.8. Capital Transfers	23
3.2.9. Gross Saving	24
3.2.10. Financial Transactions	24
3.3. Closure – Net borrowing/lending	24
3.4. Clearing	25
4. Macroeconomic aggregates and balances	27
5. The structural indicators of the distribution and use of income	30
6. Experiments and scenarios with the distributional impact of government policies	34
7. Summary and concluding remarks	42
References	45
Appendix. Conventions and declarations	48

1. Introduction

The main purpose of this paper is to study the impacts of government policies on the distribution of income, paying close attention to the corresponding response of the different macroeconomic aggregates and balances.

Because of this aim, the author was obliged to work with data that were more than a decade out of date, since 1995 was the only year for which there existed workable data. However, the task to be carried out in this study is seen as an experiment that has never previously been undertaken for Portugal, while, furthermore, it seeks to demonstrate the importance and potentialities of the working instrument used.

The Social Accounting Matrix (SAM) is the working instrument, i.e. a square matrix in which, by convention, the entries made in rows represent resources, incomes, receipts or changes in assets, whilst the entries made in columns represent uses, outlays, expenditures or changes in liabilities and net worth. Therefore, for each row there is a corresponding column, with the totals of each of these being equal. These figures will include both production and institutional accounts, which are subdivided into yet other accounts.

A numerical version of the SAM, constructed from the System of National Accounts (SNA), will serve as the basis for the construction of an algebraic version of the same matrix.

This methodological choice was linked to the fact that, underlying the SAM, there are interrelated subsystems that, in the numerical version of the matrix, provide an analytical picture of the circular flow or the general equilibrium interactions of the market economy, when studied during a particular accounting period. On the other hand, in the algebraic version of the SAM, it is possible to measure and quantify the economy-wide effects of changes in the particular nominal flows represented by the numerical version (injections into and leakages from the system), which might be the result of policy measures.

Section 2 provides a rapid presentation of the numerical version of the SAM, constructed in perfect consonance with the System of National Accounts (SNA) through a top-down approach¹.

¹ A detailed presentation of this SAM was made to the *EcoMod2006 - International Conference on Policy Modelling*, held in Hong Kong, China, on 29 June 2006, under the title “Constructing a database for economic modelling from the System of National Accounts: a Social Accounting Matrix for Portugal”. The paper presented at that conference is also published in the Working Paper Series of the Social Science Research Network (Santos S., 2006).

In turn, Section 3 presents an algebraic version of the above-mentioned SAM, within a static short-term framework, adopting a computable (numerically solvable) general (economy-wide) equilibrium (macroeconomic balance) approach.

Like the numerical version, this algebraic version of the SAM, which will also be referred to as a SAM-based model, is constructed in perfect consonance with the SNA, with each cell being defined through a linear equation or system of equations, whose components are all the known and quantified transactions of that system. This model will be calibrated using parameters and exogenous variables calculated from the database, i.e. the numerical version of the SAM, presented in Section 2.

Sections 4 and 5 show how macroeconomic aggregates and balances, as well as the structural indicators of the distribution and use of income (which can be constructed from the available information), can be calculated from both versions of the SAM.

Section 6 defines and analyses scenarios arising from experiments that have been carried out into the distributional impact of government policies. For this purpose, some parameters and the exogenous variables used to calibrate the model will be subjected to shocks, the SAM-based model will then be processed and the impacts will be studied by considering the relative differences between the aggregates, balances and indicators presented in Sections 4 and 5, after and before the experiments.

Section 7 ends the paper with a summary and some concluding remarks designed to emphasise the importance of the SAM as a working instrument.

2. The numerical version of the SAM

The main purpose of this paper and the available information were the determinants of the classification adopted for the accounts of the numerical and, consequently, the algebraic versions of the SAM. Thus, in the case of the domestic economy, “Production” was divided into factors of production, activities and products, and “Institutions” into current, capital and financial accounts. Besides these accounts, we also have an aggregate account for the “rest of the world”.

The criterion used by the author for ordering the accounts was the one underlying the basic SAM represented in Table 1.

Nowadays, the SNA in general and the Portuguese National Accounts in particular provide several (mutually exclusive) possibilities for the disaggregation of products and activities,

only a few possibilities for the institutional accounts, and even fewer possibilities for the factors of production.

The SNA that has been used in Portugal since 1995 has been the European System of National and Regional Accounts in the European Community of 1995 – ESA 95 (Eurostat, 1996), which is based on the 1993 version of the International United Nations System of National Accounts – SNA 93, prepared by the Inter-Secretariat Working Group and published by the United Nations Statistical Office (ISWG, 1993).

Table 2 shows the Portuguese SAM that it was possible to construct from the SNA, with the particular purpose described in the introduction, and which will be broken down even further, albeit using other sources of information.

This macro-SAM was constructed from blocks of sub-matrices or sets of sub-matrices, whose transactions have common characteristics. These blocks can be specified through the identification of the transactions involved in the National Accounts, which is performed in Section 3 on the occasion of their formalisation. A systematised description of the sources of information and the method of calculation used is provided by Santos in “SAMs and SNA: An Application” (2005) and “Constructing a Database for Economic Modelling from the SNA: a SAM for Portugal” (2006). The first of these also includes a description of the SAM cell contents, although this relates to a SAM calculated for 1999.

As can be seen from its totals, Table 2, which represents the so-called macro-SAM, is a possible disaggregation of Table 1, which represents the so-called basic SAM (the completely aggregated macro-SAM).

If we look at the world around us, it is easy to agree with the statement that “the determinants of the distribution of income and the mechanisms by which it changes represent one of the most difficult theoretical and empirical problems facing the science of economics” (Dervis et al., 1982). If it were an easy task, then certainly the world today would be a fairer place.

Working on the empirical side, the author believes that “SAMs provide an invaluable statistical framework for the analysis of the mapping between the different kinds of distributions one may want to consider” (Dervis et al., 1982).

Table 1. Portuguese basic SAM (Social Accounting Matrix) for 1995 (in millions of euros)

<div> <div>Outlays (expenditures)</div> <div>Incomes (receipts)</div> </div>		Production and Trade			Institutions			Rest of the World (RW) (7)	TOTAL
		Factors (1)	Activities (2)	Products (3)	Current A. (4)	Capital A. (5)	Financial A. (6)		
Production and Trade	Factors (1)	0	Gross Added Value, at factor cost (70 725)	0	0	0	0	Compensation of Factors from the RW (3 243)	Aggregate Factors Income (73 968)
	Activities (2)	0	0	Production (154 394)	0	0	0	0	Production Value (154 394)
	Products (3)	0	Intermediate Consumption (84 102)	Trade and Transport Margins (0)	Final Consumption (64 898)	Gross Capital Formation (19 623)	0	Exports (24 433)	Aggregate Demand (193 056)
Institutions	Current A. (4)	Gross National Income, at factor cost (70 542)	Net taxes on production (-346)	Net taxes on products (10 283)	Current Transfers (42 145)	0	0	Current Transfers from the RW (3 960)	Aggregate Income (126 583)
	Capital A. (5)	0	0	0	Gross Saving (17 291)	Capital Transfers (4930)	Net borrowing (40)	Capital Transfers from the RW (2 320)	Investment Funds (24 582)
	Financial A. (6)	0	0	0	0	0	Financial Transactions (35 030)	Financial Transactions from the RW (9 257)	Total financial transactions (44 287)
Rest of the World (RW) (7)		Compensation of Factors to the RW (3 426)	Net taxes on production (-87)	Imports + net taxes on products (28 127 + 252)	Current Transfers to the RW (2 249)	Capital Transfers to the RW (29)	Financial Transactions to the RW (9 217)		Transactions Value to the RW (43 213)
TOTAL		Aggregate Factors Income (73 968)	Total Costs (154 394)	Aggregate Supply (193 056)	Aggregate Income (126 583)	Aggregate Investment (24 582)	Total financial transactions (44 287)	Transactions Value from the RW (43 213)	

Source: *Instituto Nacional de Estatística* (Portuguese National Accounts for 1995)

Table 2. Portuguese macro-SAM (Social Accounting Matrix) for 1995 (in millions of euros)

Outlays (expenditures)			PRODUCTION																	
			FACTORS			ACTIVITIES							PRODUCTS							
			Labour - employees	Own assets	Total	Agriculture, hunting and forestry ...	Industry, including energy	Construction	Wholesale and retail trade ...	Financial, real-estate, renting ...	Other service activities	Total	Products of agriculture, hunting, forestry ...	Products from mining and quarrying...	Construction work	Wholesale and retail trade services...	Financial intermediation services, real estate...	Other services	Total	
Incomes (receipts)			1	2		3	4	5	6	7	8		9	10	11	12	13	14		
PRODUCTION	FACTORS	Labour - employees	1	0	0	0	652	9 258	2 589	8 222	4 212	13 630	38 563	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Own assets	2	0	0	0	3 327	8 054	2 303	9 478	5 583	3 417	32 161	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total		0	0	0	3 979	17 313	4 892	17 700	9 794	17 047	70 725	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ACTIVITIES	Agriculture, hunting and forestry...	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6 060	379	2	0	19	0	6 460
		Industry, including energy	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	55 321	69	2	413	48	55 852
		Construction	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	14 191	0	0	0	14 204
		Wholesale and retail trade...	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	25	13	31 749	683	0	32 469
		Financial, real-estate, renting ...	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	14	0	20 967	0	20 987
		Other service activities	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	81	28	78	852	23 379	24 421
		Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6 064	55 823	14 317	31 829	22 934	23 427	154 394
	PRODUCTS	Products of agriculture ...	9	0	0	0	606	4 640	0	369	0	78	5 693	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Products from mining and ...	10	0	0	0	1 756	29 158	5 096	6 608	1 559	3 346	47 524	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Construction work	11	0	0	0	30	250	3 394	280	525	128	4 606	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Wholesale and retail trade...	12	0	0	0	121	1 198	247	4 193	897	896	7 552	1 236	13 886	0	- 15 122	0	0	0
		Financial intermediation...	13	0	0	0	112	3 019	563	3 092	7 514	2 365	16 666	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Other services	14	0	0	0	26	315	38	347	713	623	2 062	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total		0	0	0	2 651	38 579	9 337	14 889	11 209	7 437	84 102	1 236	13 886	0	- 15 122	0	0	0
INSTITUTIONS	CURRENT ACCOUNT	Households	15	38 620	20 994	59 614	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enterprises (nonfinancial corporations)	16	0	11 561	11 561	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Financial corporations	17	0	1 787	1 787	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Government	18	0	- 2 558	- 2 558	- 135	- 31	- 20	- 96	- 13	- 50	- 346	- 1	7 108	405	1 046	1 347	378	10 283
		NonProfitInstitutionsServing Households(NPISH)	19	0	137	137	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total		38 620	31 922	70 542	- 135	- 31	- 20	- 96	- 13	- 50	- 346	- 1	7 108	405	1 046	1 347	378	10 283
	CAPITAL ACCOUNT	Households	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Enterprises (nonfinancial corporations)	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Financial corporations	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Government	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		NonProfitInstitutionsServing Households(NPISH)	24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	FINANCIAL ACCOUNT	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
REST OF THE WORLD		26	64	3 363	3 426	- 34	- 8	- 5	- 24	- 3	- 13	- 87	1 481	24 689	32	840	1 181	156	28 379	
TOTAL			38 683	35 285	73 968	6 460	55 852	14 204	32 469	20 987	24 421	154 394	8 781	101 506	14 754	18 592	25 462	23 961	193 056	

Source: *Instituto Nacional de Estatística* (Portuguese National Accounts for 1995)

Table 2. Portuguese macro-SAM (Social Accounting Matrix) for 1995 (in millions of euros) (continued)

Outlays (expenditures)				INSTITUTIONS													REST OF THE WORLD	TOTAL	
				CURRENT ACCOUNT						CAPITAL ACCOUNT						FINANCIAL ACCOUNT			
				Households	Enterprises (nonfinancial corporations)	Financial corporations	Government	NonProfitInstitutionsServing Households (NPISH)	Total	Households	Enterprises (nonfinancial corporations)	Financial corporations	Government	NonProfitInstitutionsServing Households (NPISH)	Total				
Incomes (receipts)				15	16	17	18	19		20	21	22	23	24		25	26		
PRODUCTION	FACTORS	Labour - employees	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	120	38 68	
		Own assets	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 123	35 28	
		Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 243	73 96	
	ACTIVITIES	Agriculture, hunting and forestry...	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6 46	55 85
		Industry, including energy	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14 20
		Construction	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32 46
		Wholesale and retail trade...	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20 98
		Financial, real-estate, renting...	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24 42
		Other service activities	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	154 39
		Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	8 78
	PRODUCTS	Products of agriculture ...	9	2 546	0	0	18	0	2 564	185	130	0	3	0	318	0	205	101 50	14 75
		Products from mining and ...	10	27 967	0	0	628	0	28 595	768	5 282	347	452	246	7 095	0	18 292	18 59	25 46
		Construction work	11	74	0	0	0	0	74	4 148	2 816	437	2 552	120	10 072	0	1	23 96	193 05
		Wholesale and retail trade...	12	5 467	0	0	37	0	5 504	91	194	19	1	0	305	0	5 231	18 59	25 46
		Financial intermediation...	13	6 388	0	0	77	43	6 508	505	1 049	110	8	0	1 671	0	617	25 46	23 96
		Other services	14	6 136	0	0	14 272	1 245	21 653	58	91	10	1	1	160	0	87	23 96	193 05
		Total		48 578	0	0	15 032	1 288	64 898	5 755	9 562	922	3 018	366	19 623	0	24 433	193 05	193 05
INSTITUTIONS	CURRENT ACCOUNT	Households	15	470	1 349	2 051	9 623	13	13 506	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 293	76 41	
		Enterprises (nonfinancial corporations)	16	1 339	58	363	0	0	1 759	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	13 34	
		Financial corporations	17	2 125	329	29	4	14	2 501	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	4 32	
		Government	18	13 883	2 108	229	6 866	7	23 092	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	609	31 08	
		NonProfitInstitutionsServing Households(NPISH)	19	323	50	34	878	0	1 286	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 42	
		Total		18 141	3 894	2 705	17 371	35	42 145	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 960	126 58	
	CAPITAL ACCOUNT	Households	20	7 952	0	0	0	0	7 952	0	0	812	206	0	1 018	- 4 023	147	5 09	
		Enterprises (nonfinancial corporations)	21	0	9 342	0	0	0	9 342	0	0	0	707	0	707	- 49	896	10 89	
		Financial corporations	22	0	0	1 558	0	0	1 558	0	484	328	2	0	814	- 287	0	2 08	
		Government	23	0	0	0	- 1 661	0	- 1 661	63	161	3	1 870	4	2 100	4 423	1 275	6 13	
		NonProfitInstitutionsServing Households(NPISH)	24	0	0	0	0	100	100	0	0	0	291	0	291	- 23	1	37	
		Total		7 952	9 342	1 558	- 1 661	100	17 291	63	645	1 143	3 075	4	4 930	40	2 320	24 58	
		FINANCIAL ACCOUNT	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35 030	9 257	44 28	
REST OF THE WORLD			26	1 743	108	60	339	0	2 249	- 723	689	20	43	0	29	9 217	X	43 21	
TOTAL				76 413	13 344	4 323	31 081	1 423	126 583	5 095	10 896	2 085	6 136	370	24 581	44 287	43 213	X	

Source: *Instituto Nacional de Estatística* (Portuguese National Accounts for 1995)

Perhaps in a rather simplistic way, but at least to begin with, the author accepts that the study of income distribution in a society involves the study of how the national pie is divided up and how it can then be sliced. The first aspect can be analysed from one or more snapshots of the economy, provided by a suitably disaggregated SAM, and the second from the modelling of that same SAM. Therefore, of crucial importance here is the way in which the primary and secondary distribution of income, as well as the use that is made of it, are dealt with. The factors of production account and the current account of the institutions are the accounts that cover such issues.

“In the SAM, the institution entitled ‘households’ really represents all the people in society” (Dervis et al., 1982). Its disaggregation therefore needs to be performed. On the other hand, the distribution of the (primary) incomes that accrue as a result of the involvement in processes of production or the ownership of assets among institutions (and activities) is covered by the factors of production account, so that its disaggregation must also be performed.

The question thus arises “how should these disaggregations be performed?” This will not, however, be discussed here, because our dependence on the available data is total², although, despite the fact that the information is not up-to-date, it is nonetheless sufficient for us to be able to at least study some aspects of the distribution of income.

The workable data made available to the author for studying and modelling income distribution in Portugal consisted of an incomplete disaggregated National Accounting Matrix (NAM) and a previous (provisional) version for 1995, constructed as a result of the collaboration of the Portuguese Statistical Institute (*Instituto Nacional de Estatística*) in the work undertaken by the Leadership Group on Social Accounting Matrices, under the coordination of Statistics Netherlands (LEG, 2003).

In that NAM, labour was broken down into six types, according to the gender and education level of workers, and households were broken down into four types, according to their main source of income. Such disaggregation was performed using specific data sources, such as household budget surveys, the labour force survey and administrative data (employment records, income tax and social security files, etc.)³.

In this paper, gender will not be considered and the factors of production will be disaggregated into two main groups: labour (or employees) and own assets; the latter being

² Dervis et al. (1982), for instance, discuss this aspect (see Chapter 12, Modelling Distributional Mechanisms).

³ The document resulting from that work (LEG, 2003) highlights the limitations and the methodological details of such a valuable exercise.

further disaggregated into labour (employers and own-account workers) and capital. This disaggregation was based on the data available in the National Accounts, since the primary distribution of income account does not distinguish own-account labour (employers and own-account workers) from capital.

In turn, households were disaggregated into the same four types.

Thus, one of the many advantages of the SAM approach could be referred to here, to use the words of Pyatt (1991): by “reducing the social accounts to the essential”, the SAM approach “provides a useful starting point for understanding the assumptions and manipulations that have been built into the secondary source material which is typically employed by the majority of analysts”.

This top-down approach made it possible to compile a numerical version of the SAM with 34 rows and 34 columns, which has the particularity of being balanced and perfectly consonant with the national accounts when aggregated at the level of 26 rows and columns – the case of the macro-SAM represented by Table 2. However the disaggregation into 34 rows and columns was also performed from credible sources, with its differences from the aggregated level (26 x 26) not being very significant, generally speaking.

If we consider T to be the matrix of the SAM transactions, represented by t_{ij} , or a payment from column account j to row account i , and y to be the vector of row sums, which equal the vector of column sums x :

$$y_i = \sum_j t_{ij} \quad (2.1)$$

$$x_j = \sum_i t_{ij} \quad (2.2)$$

and $y_i = x_j$, when $i = j$ (2.3)

the t_{ij} 's of the macro-SAM (26x26), with the factors of production and the current account of households completely aggregated and perfectly consonant with the national accounts and balanced (Table 2), can be considered as the “control” total of the t_{ij} 's of the SAM (34x34).

Therefore the SAM will have sub-matrices that are disaggregations of cells (the “control” totals) of the macro-SAM, whose initial versions will be considered as Z_{ij} , with typical element z_{ij} .

An adjusted SAM T'_{ij} will be obtained from the adjustment of the sub-matrices Z_{ij} . In order to do this, these sub-matrices will be adjusted one by one, using the RAS (Richard A. Stone)

method, and an adjusted SAM T'_{ij} will be obtained from the adjustment of the sub-matrices Z_{ij} .

Therefore, each element, derived from a sub-matrix Z_{ij} , of the adjusted matrix T'_{ij} will be represented by the following equation:

$$t'_{ij} = r_i * z_{ij} * s_j \quad (2.4)$$

$$\text{with, } r_i = \frac{c_i}{\sum_j z_{ij}} \quad (2.5)$$

$$\text{and } s_j = \frac{d_j}{\sum_i z_{ij}} \quad (2.6)$$

where: t'_{ij} = (adjusted) SAM cell

r_i = row multiplier

c_i = row control total

z_{ij} = typical element of the sub-matrix Z_{ij}

s_j = column multiplier

d_j = column control total

i and j = disaggregations of the factors of production accounts and of the current account of households

As specified by Round (2003), this procedure results from the minimisation of

$$\sum_{ij} t'_{ij} * \ln \left(\frac{t'_{ij}}{z_{ij}} \right) \quad (2.7)$$

$$\text{subject to: } \sum_j t'_{ij} = c_i; \sum_i t'_{ij} = d_j; z_{ij} > 0.$$

The calculations were performed iteratively, with the sub-matrices Z_{ij} in the last iteration, in which r_i and s_j are equal to 1, being the ones that are included in Table 3 – the numerical version, or the database, of the algebraic version, or the model, to be defined and worked with in the next section.

Table 3. Portuguese SAM (Social Accounting Matrix) for 1995 (in millions of euros)

Outlays (expenditures)				PRODUCTION												ACTIVITIES				
				FACTORS																
				Labour (employees)				Own Assets				Total	Agriculture, hunting and forestry, fishing ...	Industry, including energy	Construction	Wholesale and retail trade, repair of .. motor				
				Lower	Medium	Higher	Total	Labour (employers and/or own-account work.)									Capital	Total		
Incomes (receipts)				1	2	3	Total	Lower	Medium	Higher	Total	7	Total	8	9	10	11			
PRODUCTION	FACTORS	Labour (employees)	Lower	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	473	5 113	2 244	4 846		
			Medium	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	159	3 112	144	2 290		
			Higher	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	1 034	201	1 086		
			Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	652	9 258	2 589	8 222		
		Own Assets	Labour (employers and/or own-account work.)	Lower	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 509	181	89	685	
				Medium	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	874	78	5	271	
				Higher	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	60	47	7	180	
				Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 442	305	100	1 136	
			Capital	7	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	884	7 749	2 203	8 342	
			Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 327	8 054	2 303	9 478	
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 979	17 313	4 892	17 700		
	ACTIVITIES	Agriculture, hunting and forestry...		8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Industry, including energy		9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Construction		10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Wholesale and retail trade, repair ...		11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Financial, real-estate, renting and...		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Other service activities		13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Total			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	PRODUCTS	Products of agriculture, hunting ...		14	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	606	4 640	0	369	
		Products from mining and quarry...		15	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 756	29 158	5 096	6 608	
		Construction work		16	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	30	250	3 394	280	
		Wholesale & retail trade services...		17	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	121	1 198	247	4 193	
		Financial intermediation serv, real ...		18	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	112	3 019	563	3 092	
		Other services		19	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	26	315	38	347	
		Total			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 651	38 579	9 337	14 889	
INSTITUTIONS		CURRENT ACCOUNT	Households (by main source of income)	employees	20	17 699	11 719	7 029	36 446	313	322	205	840	6 548	7 388	43 834	0	0	0	0
				employers and/or o.a. work.	21	576	584	98	1 258	2 586	1 365	371	4 322	7 520	11 842	13 100	0	0	0	0
	recipients of pensions			22	223	234	61	518	37	54	7	98	1 205	1 302	1 820	0	0	0	0	
	others			23	208	145	45	398	25	30	4	59	402	461	859	0	0	0	0	
	Total				18 705	12 682	7 233	38 620	2 961	1 771	587	5 319	15 675	20 994	59 614	0	0	0	0	
	Enterprises (nonfinanc. corp.)		24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	11 561	11 561	11 561	0	0	0	0		
	Financial corporations		25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 787	1 787	1 787	0	0	0	0		
	Government		26	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	- 2 558	- 2 558	- 2 558	- 135	- 31	- 20	- 96		
	NonProfitInst.Serv.Househ.(NPISH)		27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	137	137	137	0	0	0	0		
	Total			18 705	12 682	7 233	38 620	2 961	1 771	587	5 319	26 603	31 922	70 542	- 135	- 31	- 20	- 96		
	CAPITAL A.	Households		28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Enterprises (nonfinanc. corp.)		29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Financial corporations		30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Government		31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		NonProfitInst.Serv.Househ.(NPISH)		32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	FINANCIAL ACCOUNT			33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
	REST OF THE WORLD			34	2	60	1	64	0	0	0	0	3 363	3 363	3 426	- 34	- 8	- 5	- 24	
	TOTAL				18 708	12 742	7 234	38 683	2 961	1 771	587	5 319	29 965	35 285	73 968	6 460	55 852	14 204	32 469	

Source: *Instituto Nacional de Estatística* (Portuguese National Accounts and Portuguese Pilot - National Accounting Matrix, for 1995)

Table 3. Portuguese SAM (Social Accounting Matrix) for 1995 (in millions of euros) (continued)

Outlays (expenditures)				PRODUCTION										INSTITUTIONS						
				PRODUCTS										CURRENT ACCOUNT						
				Fianacial, real-estate, renting and busin. activi	Other service activities	Total	Products of agriculture, hunting, forest..	Products from mining and quar- rying	Construction work	Wholesale and retail trade services;	Financial intermediation services, real estate, renting	Other services	Total	Households (by main source of income)						
														employees	employers and/or o.a. work	recipients of pens.	others	Total		
Incomes (receipts)				12	13		14	15	16	17	18	19		20	21	22	23			
PRODUCTION	FACTORS	Labour (employees)	Lower	1	1 441	4 513	18 629	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			Medium	2	1 466	5 568	12 739	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			Higher	3	1 305	3 549	7 196	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
			Total		4 212	13 630	38 563	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Own Assets (employers and/or own- ers)	Lower	4	66	431	2 961	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			Medium	5	100	445	1 771	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			Higher	6	94	200	587	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			Total		259	1 076	5 319	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			Capital	7	5 323	2 341	26 842	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
			Total		5 583	3 417	32 161	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total		9 794	17 047	70 725	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
	ACTIVITIES	Agriculture, hunting and forestry..		8	0	0	0	6 060	379	2	0	19	6 460	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Industry, including energy		9	0	0	0	0	55 321	69	2	413	55 852	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Construction		10	0	0	0	0	12	14 191	0	0	14 204	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Wholesale and retail trade, repair ..		11	0	0	0	0	25	13	31 749	683	32 469	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Fianacial, real-estate, renting and..		12	0	0	0	0	5	14	0	20 967	20 987	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Other service activities		13	0	0	0	3	81	28	78	852	23 379	24 421	0	0	0	0	0	0
		Total			0	0	0	6 064	55 823	14 317	31 829	22 934	23 427	154 394	0	0	0	0	0	0
	PRODUCTS	Products of agriculture, hunting ..		14	0	78	5 693	0	0	0	0	0	1 459	488	527	73	2 546			
		Products from mining and quarry..		15	1 559	3 346	47 524	0	0	0	0	0	17 408	5 553	4 088	918	27 967			
		Construction work		16	525	128	4 606	0	0	0	0	0	40	10	21	3	74			
		Wholesale & retail trade services..		17	897	896	7 552	1 236	13 886	0	- 15 122	0	3 659	1 062	574	172	5 467			
		Financ.intermediation serv, real ..		18	7 514	2 365	16 666	0	0	0	0	0	3 752	1 588	864	185	6 388			
		Other services		19	713	623	2 062	0	0	0	0	0	4 020	1 087	874	155	6 136			
		Total			11 209	7 437	84 102	1 236	13 886	0	- 15 122	0	30 337	9 787	6 949	1 505	48 578			
		Total			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
INSTITUTIONS	CURRENT ACCOUNT	Households (by main source of income)	employees	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	124	49	17	15	206		
			employers and/or o.a. work	21	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	52	20	7	6	86			
			recipients of pensions	22	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	15	5	5	62			
			others	23	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	70	28	10	9	116			
			Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	285	112	39	35	470			
		Enterprises (nonfinanc. corp.)		24	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	307	122	880	30	1 339			
		Financial corporations		25	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 715	223	144	43	2 125			
		Government		26	- 13	- 50	- 346	- 1	7 108	405	1 046	1 347	10 283	11 825	1 061	828	169	13 883		
		NonProfInst.Serv.Househ.(NPISH)		27	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	215	65	17	27	323			
		Total			- 13	- 50	- 346	- 1	7 108	405	1 046	1 347	10 283	14 346	1 582	1 908	304	18 141		
	CAPITAL A.	Households		28	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	615	6 385	1 071	- 119	7 952			
		Enterprises (nonfinanc. corp.)		29	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Financial corporations		30	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Government		31	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		NonProfInst.Serv.Househ.(NPISH)		32	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	
		Total			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	7 952	
		FINANCIAL ACCOUNT		33	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
		REST OF THE WORLD		34	- 3	- 13	- 87	1 481	24 689	32	840	1 181	156	28 379	1 126	363	205	49	1 743	
	TOTAL			20 987	24 421	154 394	8 781	101 506	14 754	18 592	25 462	23 961	193 056	46 424	18 117	10 133	1 740	76 413		

Source: *Instituto Nacional de Estatística* (Portuguese National Accounts and Portuguese Pilot - National Accounting Matrix, for 1995)

Table 3. Portuguese SAM (Social Accounting Matrix) for 1995 (in millions of euros) (continued)

Outlays (expenditures)				INSTITUTIONS													REST OF THE WORLD	TOTAL	
				CURRENT ACCOUNT					CAPITAL ACCOUNT					FINANCIAL ACCOUNT					
				Enterprises (nonfinancial corporations)	Financial corporations	Government	NonProfitInstitutionsServ. H. (NPISH)	Total	Households	Enterprises (nonfinancial corporations)	Financial corporations	Government	NonProfitInstitutionsServ. H. (NPISH)		Total				
Incomes (receipts)				24	25	25	27		28	29	30	31	32		33	34			
PRODUCTION	FACTORS	Labour (employees)	Lower	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	79	18 70	
			Medium	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	12 74	
			Higher	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	37	7 23	
			Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	120	38 68	
		Own Assets	Labour (employers and/or own-)	Lower	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 96
				Medium	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 77
				Higher	6	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	58
				Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	5 31
		Capital	7		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 123	29 96	
		Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 123	35 28	
		Total		0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 243	73 96	
		ACTIVITIES	Agriculture, hunting and forestry..	8	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	6 46
			Industry, including energy	9	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	55 85
			Construction	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	14 20
	Wholesale and retail trade, repair ..		11	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	32 46	
	Financial, real-estate, renting and..		12	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	20 98	
	Other service activities		13	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	24 42	
	Total			0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	154 39	
	PRODUCTS	Products of agriculture, hunting ..	14	0	0	18	0	2 564	185	130	0	3	0	318	0	205	8 78		
		Products from mining and quarry..	15	0	0	628	0	28 595	768	5 282	347	452	246	7 095	0	18 292	101 50		
		Construction work	16	0	0	0	0	74	4 148	2 816	437	2 552	120	10 072	0	1	14 75		
		Wholesale & retail trade services..	17	0	0	37	0	5 504	91	194	19	1	0	305	0	5 231	18 59		
		Financial intermediation serv, real ..	18	0	0	77	43	6 508	505	1 049	110	8	0	1 671	0	617	25 46		
		Other services	19	0	0	14 272	1 245	21 653	58	91	10	1	1	160	0	87	23 96		
		Total		0	0	15 032	1 288	64 898	5 755	9 562	922	3 018	366	19 623	0	24 433	193 05		
	INSTITUTIONS	CURRENT ACCOUNT	Households (by main source of income)	employees	20	312	667	2 238	3	3 426	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2 353	49 61
				employers and/or o.a. work	21	124	145	885	1	1 242	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	754	15 09
				recipients of pensions	22	881	778	6 245	9	7 975	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	120	9 91
others				23	33	460	254	0	864	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	66	1 78	
Total					1 349	2 051	9 623	13	13 506	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 293	76 41	
Enterprises (nonfinanc. corp.)			24	58	363	0	0	1 759	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	13 34		
Financial corporations			25	329	29	4	14	2 501	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	35	4 32		
CAPITAL A.		Government	26	2 108	229	6 866	7	23 092	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	609	31 08		
		NonProfitInst.Serv.Househ.(NPISH)	27	50	34	878	0	1 286	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1 42		
		Total		3 894	2 705	17 371	35	42 145	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	3 960	126 58		
		Households	28	0	0	0	0	7 952	0	0	812	206	0	1 018	- 4 023	147	5 09		
		Enterprises (nonfinanc. corp.)	29	9 342	0	0	0	9 342	0	0	0	707	0	707	- 49	896	10 89		
		Financial corporations	30	0	1 558	0	0	1 558	0	484	328	2	0	814	- 287	0	2 08		
		Government	31	0	0	- 1 661	0	- 1 661	63	161	3	1 870	4	2 100	4 423	1 275	6 13		
FINANCIAL ACCOUNT		NonProfitInst.Serv.Househ.(NPISH)	32	0	0	0	100	100	0	0	291	0	291	- 23	1	37			
		Total		9 342	1 558	- 1 661	100	17 291	63	645	1 143	3 075	4	4 930	40	2 320	24 58		
		REST OF THE WORLD	34	108	60	339	0	2 249	- 723	689	20	43	0	29	9 217	0	43 21		
TOTAL					13 344	4 323	31 081	1 423	126 583	5 095	10 896	2 085	6 136	370	24 581	44 287	43 213		

Source: *Instituto Nacional de Estatística* (Portuguese National Accounts and Portuguese Pilot - National Accounting Matrix, for 1995)

3. The algebraic version of the SAM

3.1. Framework and assumptions

Now that the details inherent in the SAM that will serve as the database for the model to be designed below have been specified, the starting idea will be the one outlined in the article “Macroeconomic Modelling Based on Social-Accounting Principles” and expressed in the following words:

“A dictum usually attributed to Lord Keynes posits that every economic model has a corresponding accounting framework. For macroeconomic models, this accounting framework must be complete in the sense that every receipt must be offset by a corresponding expenditure. One consequence is that all the transactions in a model can be expressed within a SAM framework. The values assumed by all the different types of transactions can therefore be set out as the elements of a SAM” (Drud et al., 1986: 112).

Therefore, a static model will be defined and conceived as a starting point for both a comparative static and dynamic approach. On the other hand, since it will not be possible to calculate and work with price and volume indexes, a fixed-price model will be designed. Linear equations will be worked with, thereby avoiding elasticities, marginal propensities and other parameters that should be estimated from an empirical base, which is not available.

Thus, the main concern will be to capture (to begin with in a very simple way) all the national accounting transactions considered in the numerical version of the SAM (the database for this model), and, after its calibration, to conduct some experiments and define some scenarios for the aspects that the author intends to study.

The process of calibration will involve determining the parameters and exogenous variables that are to be specified, so that, after processing the model, the base SAM (presented in Section 2) is exactly replicated. The software used to process the model was the GAMS (General Algebraic Modelling System) and the quantification of the whole process took into consideration all the available information, involving the values calculated using the information contained in that same base SAM, further supplemented by additional data. These values will be assumed as valid for the “experiments and scenarios with the distributional impact of government policies”, which are to be outlined in Section 6, except for those which will be subject to shocks.

Since the purpose of this model is to study income distribution, some usual specifications for the model will not be considered. These specifications include the ones that are also

constructed within a general equilibrium framework, usually to study trade issues, such as the distinction between domestically produced and imported products, while external trade will be considered exogenous in this version of the model.

For the purposes of simplification, it will also be assumed that all domestically produced output is market output, and therefore any output produced for own final use and other non-market output will be considered as non-existent⁴.

On the other hand, it will be assumed that there is sufficient production capability available in the economy to enable domestic output to respond to aggregate demand. Such a response will be considered exclusive, since (for the time being) imports are exogenous.

Many fixed parameters will be adopted and some variables will be calculated from exogenous parameters and other variables, in order to enable future experiments to be carried out with their changes.

This model is considered to be a step forward in comparison with the ones that the author has previously worked with, and, at the same time, a (necessary) stage along a path that she would like to pursue in SAM modelling. From her own experience, the author feels that SAM modelling does have a convenient path. Thus, on the one hand, when working on SAM modelling or with SAM-based models, some knowledge of SAM construction is considered to be a necessary, although not a sufficient, condition. On the other hand, underlying SAM modelling is a process of gradual maturation, which should begin with the construction and decomposition of accounting and fixed-price multipliers and the conducting of experiments with them. That is what the author has done, based essentially on the works of Pyatt, 1988; Pyatt and Roe, 1977; and Pyatt and Round, 1985. For an illustration of this work, see Santos, 1999; 2001; 2003; 2003a; 2004; 2004a; 2005a; and 2007.

This work is the beginning of the materialisation of the step after multipliers.

3.2. Specification by SAM blocks of sub-matrices

As this model is supported by a SAM database, constructed in perfect consonance with the national accounts, its specifications will either obey or be derived from the SNA, as described below.

⁴ In the year of this study, these two components together accounted for almost 13% of total output. However, considering that this model is the preliminary version of a model that will be progressively improved, they will not be considered at this stage.

By convention, the parameters will be stated in lower case and the variables in upper case (at least the first letter). Endogenous variables will be written in normal letters, whereas exogenous variables, as well as the parameters, will be written in italics. The indices of each variable and parameter (the sets in the Appendix) – identified in lower-case subscripts – describe the SAM accounts, the first index representing the row and the second one the column, being separated by commas. The symbols used in the description of the model will be listed alphabetically and without any indices, according to their type (endogenous or exogenous variables and parameters) in the Appendix.

The entire model will be worked upon in gross terms, so that the consumption of fixed capital will therefore not be considered.

The SAM blocks, identified in Table 4, are sub-matrices or sets of sub-matrices (as seen in the Basic SAM – Table 1) with common characteristics. The specification of these blocks will be carried out below and involves, on the one hand, an identification of the transactions of the National Accounts that are considered in the calculation of the same in the numerical SAM and, on the other hand, a definition of the equation, or system of equations, to be considered in the algebraic SAM or SAM-based model.

3.2.1. Compensation of factors of production


This block consists of the income of the institutional sectors originating from the compensation of the services provided through their real and financial assets to the activities of production and to the rest of the world, namely:

- Compensation of employees (transaction D1 of the National Accounts), which is broken down into wages and salaries (in cash or in kind; transaction D11) and employers' social contributions (actual and imputed social contributions; transaction D12) (SNA 93, paragraphs 7.21-7.47; ESA 95, paragraphs 4.02-4.13).
- Compensation of own-account assets, i.e. all the above-mentioned income that is not derived from the compensation of employees, including the compensation of employers and/or own-account workers, and of capital, namely property income (transaction D4 of the National Accounts). (SNA 93, paragraphs 7.87-7.133; ESA 95, paragraphs 4.41-4.76).


Table 4. Basic SAM by blocks

<div> <div>Outlays (expenditures)</div> <div>Incomes (receipts)</div> </div>		Production and Trade			Institutions			Rest of the World (rw)
		Factors (f)	Activities (a)	Products (p)	Current A. (dic)	Capital A. (dik)	Financial A. (dif)	
Production and Trade	Factors (f)	0		0	0	0	0	
	Activities (a)	0	0	Production	0	0	0	0
	Products (p)	0		Trade and Transport Margins			0	
Institutions	Current A. (dic)					0	0	
	Capital A. (dik)	0	0	0	Gross Saving		(-) Net lending/ borrowing	
	Financial A. (dif)	0	0	0	0	0		
Rest of the World (rw)								X

Blocks with more than one sub-matrix:



Compensation of the factors of production
 Domestic Trade
 External Trade
 Net Indirect Taxes



Current Transfers
 Capital Transfers
 Financial Transactions

3.2.1.1. Gross Added Value

$$GAV_a = \beta_a * VP_a \quad (3.1)$$

$$GAV_{fle,a} = dls_{fle,a} * GAV_a \quad (3.2)$$

$$D1_a = \sum_{fle} GAV_{fle,a} \quad (3.3)$$

$$GAV_{fle} = \sum_a GAV_{fle,a} \quad (3.4)$$

$$GAV_{foal,a} = b3s_{foal,a} * GAV_a \quad (3.5)$$

$$B3g_a = \sum_{foal} GAV_{foal,a} \quad (3.6)$$

$$GAV_{foal} = \sum_a GAV_{foal,a} \quad (3.7)$$

$$GAV_{foak,a} = b2gp_{foak,a} * (D1_a + B3g_a) \quad (3.8)$$

$$B2g_a = \sum_{foak} GAV_{foak,a} \quad (3.9)$$

$$GAV_{foak} = \sum_a GAV_{foak,a} \quad (3.10)$$

3.2.1.2. Compensation of factors from the rest of the world

$$CFR_{fle,rw} = DIRW_{fle}$$

$$CFR_{foak,rw} = D4RW$$

3.2.1.3. Gross National Income

$$GNI_{fle} = GAV_{fle} + CFR_{fle,rw} - CFS_{rw,fle} \quad (3.11)$$

$$GNI_{dic,fle} = ce_{dic,fle} * GNI_{fle} \quad (3.12)$$

$$GNI_{foal} = GAV_{foal} \quad (3.13)$$

$$GNI_{dic,foal} = coa_{dic,foal} * GNI_{foal} \quad (3.14)$$

$$GNI_{foak} = GAV_{foak} + CFR_{foak,rw} - CFS_{rw,foak} \quad (3.15)$$

$$GNI_{dic,foak} = sk_{dic,foak} * GNI_{foak} \quad (3.16)$$

$$GNI_{dic} = \sum_{fle} GNI_{dic,fle} + \sum_{foal} GNI_{dic,foal} + GNI_{dic,foak} \quad (3.17)$$

$$GNI = \sum_{dic} GNI_{dic} \quad (3.18)$$

3.2.1.4. Compensation of factors to the rest of the world

$$CFS_{rw,fle} = clr_{rw,fle} * GAV_{fle} \quad (3.19)$$

$$CFS_{rw,foak} = D4PRW$$

3.2.2. Production

This matrix/block represents the output of goods and services (transaction P1 of the National Accounts) (SNA 93, paragraphs 6.38-6.51; ESA 95, paragraphs 3.14-3.68).

As assumed in section 3.1, all output will be considered as market output, which will respond exclusively to aggregate demand, since there is sufficient production capability available in the economy.

$$VP_p = AD_p - TMT_p - NTP_p - IM_p \quad (3.20)$$

$$VP_{a,p} = VP_p * \alpha_{a,p} \quad (3.21)$$

$$VP_a = \sum_p VP_{a,p} \quad (3.22)$$

3.2.3. External Trade

This block represents the transactions in goods and services (purchases, barter, gifts or grants) from non-residents to residents, or imports (transaction P7 of the National Accounts – $IM_{rw,p}$), and from residents to non-residents, or exports (transaction P6 of the National Accounts – $EX_{p,rw}$) (ESA 95, paragraphs 3.128-3.146⁵).

Although the National Accounts consider direct purchases abroad by residents ($FC_{rw,dic}$) as an import, in this model they will be considered as a current transfer from households to the rest of the world, considering that they will not be traded in the domestic market.

Imports are valued at c.i.f. (cost-insurance-freight included) prices (at the border of the importing country), whereas exports are valued at f.o.b. (free on board) prices (at the border of the exporting country) (ESA 95, paragraph 3.138).

In this version of the model external trade will be considered to be exogenous, as assumed in section 3.1.

3.2.4. Net indirect taxes or net taxes on production and imports

Net indirect taxes or the net taxes on production and imports have two main components: net taxes on production and net taxes on products, which will be treated separately in this model. On the other hand, the amount of each component will represent a receipt not only of the Portuguese general government, through the domestic institutions' current account (dicg), but also of the European Union institutions, through the rest of the world account (rw), which will also be treated separately in the model.

⁵ The SNA 93 does not deal directly with these transactions, which are dealt with in all the other transactions with the rest of the world in its section XIV – The Rest of the World Account (external transactions account).

3.2.4.1. Net Taxes on Production

This part represents the (other) taxes on production (transaction D29 of the National Accounts) minus the (other) subsidies to production (transaction D39 of the National Accounts). The former consists of all the taxes that enterprises incur as a result of engaging in production, regardless of the quantity or value of the goods and services produced or sold (SNA 93, paragraph 7.70; ESA 95, paragraphs 4.22-4.24), while the latter consists of subsidies, except those subsidies to products which resident producer units may receive as a consequence of engaging in production (SNA 93, paragraph 7.79; ESA 95, paragraphs 4.36-4.40).

$$NTA_{dic,a} = ntag_{dic,a} * NTAA_a \quad (3.23)$$

$$NTA_{rw,a} = ntarw_{rw,a} * NTAA_a \quad (3.24)$$

$$NTA_{dic} = \sum_a NTA_{dic,a} \quad (3.25)$$

$$NTA_a = \sum_{dic} NTA_{dic,a} \quad (3.26)$$

$$NTA_{rw} = \sum_a NTA_{rw,a} \quad (3.27)$$

$$NTA = \sum_{dic} NTA_{dic} + NTA_{rw} \quad (3.28)$$

3.2.4.2. Net Taxes on Products

This part represents the taxes on products (transaction D21 of the National Accounts) minus the subsidies on products (transaction D31 of the National Accounts). The former consists of taxes that are payable per unit of a good or service produced or transacted (SNA 93, paragraphs 7.62-7.69; ESA 95, paragraphs 4.16-4.21), while the latter consists of subsidies payable per unit of a good or service produced or imported (SNA 93, paragraphs 7.73-7.78; ESA 95, paragraphs 4.33-4.35).

$$NTP_p = tp_p * DT_p \quad (3.29)$$

$$NTP_{dic,p} = ntpg_{dic,p} * NTP_p \quad (3.30)$$

$$NTP_{rw,p} = ntprw_{rw,p} * NTP_p \quad (3.31)$$

$$NTP_{dic} = \sum_p NTP_{dic,p} \quad (3.32)$$

$$NTP_{rw} = \sum_p NTP_{rw,p} \quad (3.33)$$

$$NTP = \sum_{dic} NTP_{dic} + NTP_{rw} \quad (3.34)$$

3.2.5. Trade and Transport Margins

Trade and transport margins are realised on goods purchased for resale. They are a part of the production of wholesale trade services, retail trade services and the repair services of motor vehicles, motorcycles and personal and household goods. They are recorded as part of the trade in products and are therefore included under the various components of aggregate demand. They amount to zero, since they are negative in relation to the three above-mentioned activities (because the corresponding value has already been recorded in the production sub-matrix), but are positive and have the same amount in relation to all the other ones (SNA 93, paragraphs 6.110-6.114, 15.40-15.44; ESA 95, paragraphs 3.60, 9.38-9.41).

Being realised on goods purchased for resale, and therefore excluding services (p3-p6 – SAM accounts 11-14), trade and transport margins will be considered as a function of the value of domestically transacted goods (imported and domestically produced and not exported, at c.i.f./basic prices), based on an exogenously fixed rate (tm).

On the other hand, being a part of the production of wholesale trade services, retail trade services and the repair services of motor vehicles, motorcycles and personal and household goods (p4 and SAM account 12), which is considered in the production sub-matrix, the trade and transport margins sub-matrix will have only one non-zero row (p4 and SAM account 12). This is the one relating to the products that result from the above-mentioned resale activity, which, with the addition of a value of correction (TMc), will amount to zero, since the positive entries for the columns relating to the resale of goods (p1-p2 and SAM accounts 9-10) will be cancelled out by a negative entry introduced (in column p4 – SAM account 12) to avoid the double entry of the production of these activities, as referred to above.

$$TM_{p,p} = tm_{p,p} * DT_p \quad (3.35)$$

$$TMc_{p,p} = tmc_{p,p} * DT_p \quad (3.36)$$

$$TMT_p = \sum_p (TM_{p,p} + TMc_{p,p}) \text{ (column sum)} \quad (3.37)$$

3.2.6. Domestic Trade

Domestic trade is represented by the value of domestically transacted products, either domestically produced or imported. It is represented by the sub-matrices of intermediate and final consumption, as well as gross capital formation – transactions that are valued at market or purchasers' prices, i.e. having added the trade and transport margins and the net taxes on

products to the basic prices of domestically produced products or to the c.i.f. prices of imported products.

$$DTmp_p = VIC_p + FC_p + GCF_p \quad (3.38)$$

$$DT_p = DTmp_p - TMT_p - NTP_p \quad (3.39)$$

3.2.6.1. Intermediate Consumption

The intermediate consumption (transaction P2 of the National Accounts) matrix/block consists of the value of the goods and services consumed as inputs by a process of production, excluding those fixed assets whose consumption is recorded as consumption of fixed capital. The goods and services may be either transformed or used up by the production process (SNA 93, paragraphs 6.147-6.178; ESA 95, paragraphs 3.69-3.73).

$$VIC_a = \gamma_a * VP_a \quad (3.40)$$

$$VIC_{p,a} = icp_{p,a} * VIC_a \quad (3.41)$$

$$VIC_p = \sum_a VIC_{p,a} \quad (3.42)$$

$$VIC = \sum_p \sum_a VIC_{p,a} \quad (3.43)$$

3.2.6.2. Final Consumption

Final consumption (transaction P3 of the National Accounts) consists of the expenditure incurred by resident institutional units on those goods or services that are used for the direct satisfaction of individual needs or wants or of the collective needs of members of the community. Such consumption takes place within the domestic territory or abroad. (SNA 93, paragraphs 9.45-9.71; ESA 95, paragraphs 3.75-3.80).

Direct purchases abroad by residents ($FC_{rw,dic}$) are defined here, but are included in the current transfers to the rest of the world (the current transfers block).

Direct purchases by non-residents in the domestic market are included in exports (the external trade block).

$$FC_{dic} = apc_{dic} * DI_{dic} \quad (3.44)$$

$$FC_{p,dic} = fcs_{p,dic} * FC_{dic} \quad (3.45)$$

$$FC_{rw,dic} = fcs_{rw,dic} * FC_{dic} \quad (3.46)$$

3.2.6.3. Gross Capital Formation

The gross capital formation (transaction P5 of the National Accounts) matrix/block consists of gross fixed capital formation (transaction P51), changes in inventories (transaction P52), and acquisitions minus disposals of valuables (transaction P53) (SNA 93, paragraphs 10.32-10.130; ESA 95, paragraphs 3.100-3.127).

$$GCF_{p,dik} = gfcf_{p,dik} * P51_{dik} + P52_p * chinvc_{p,dik} + adv_{p,dik} * P53_{dik} \quad (3.47)$$

$$GCF_{dik} = \sum_p GCF_{p,dik} \quad (3.48)$$

$$P52_p = chinvc_p * AS_p \quad (3.49)$$

$$P53_{dik} = adv_{dik} * S_{dik} \quad (3.50)$$

3.2.7. Current Transfers

Current transfers include:

- Current taxes on income, wealth, etc. (transaction D5 of the National Accounts) (SNA 93, paragraphs 8.43-8.54; ESA 95, paragraphs 4.77-4.82).
- Social benefits and contributions (transaction D6 of the National Accounts). Social benefits are transfers to households, in cash (transaction D62) or in kind (transaction D63), intended to relieve them of the financial burden of a number of risks or needs, made either through collectively organised schemes or outside such schemes by government units and non-profit institutions serving households; they include payments from general government to producers which individually benefit households and which are made in the context of social risks or needs. Social contributions (transaction D61) include (employers' and employees') actual social contributions transferred to general government (SNA 93, paragraphs 8.67-8.83 and 8.99-8.106; ESA 95, paragraphs 4.83-4.108). Because the amount of social transfers in kind represents a final consumption expenditure of the government and the non-profit institutions serving households, it is not considered here, since it is included in the final consumption block.
- Other current transfers (transaction D7 of the National Accounts) (SNA 93, paragraphs 8.84-8.98; ESA 95, paragraphs 4.109-4.140).
- Adjustment made for the change in the net equity of households in pension fund reserves (transaction D8 of the National Accounts) (SNA 93, paragraphs 9.14-9.20; ESA 95, paragraphs 4.141-4.144).

As already mentioned and specified above, in the section on final consumption, direct purchases abroad by residents ($FC_{rw,dic}$) will be added to the current transfers from households to the rest of the world.

$$CT_{dic,dic} = d5s_{dic,dic} * D5_{dic} + d61s_{dic,dic} * D61_{dic} + d62s_{dic,dic} * D62P_{dic} + d7_{dic,dic} * D7P_{dic} + D8_{dic,dic} \quad (3.51)$$

$$CT_{rw,dic} = d62rws_{rw,dic} * D62P_{dic} + d7rws_{rw,dic} * D7P_{dic} \quad (3.52)$$

$$CT_{dic,rw} = D62RW_{dic,rw} + D7RW_{dic,rw} \quad (3.53)$$

$$CTR_{dic} = \sum_{dic} CT_{dic,dic} \text{ (row sum)} \quad (3.54)$$

$$CTP_{dic} = \sum_{dic} CT_{dic,dic} \text{ (column sum)} \quad (3.55)$$

$$D5_{dic} = ti_{dic} * AI_{dic} \quad (3.56)$$

$$D61_{dic} = sc_{dic} * GNI_{dic} \quad (3.57)$$

3.2.8. Capital Transfers

Capital transfers⁶ (transaction D9 of the National Accounts) cover capital taxes, investment grants and other capital transfers (SNA 93, paragraphs 10.131-10.141; ESA 95, paragraphs 4.146-4.167). Acquisitions less disposals of non-financial non-produced assets (transaction K2 of the National Accounts) – non-financial non-produced assets consisting of land and other tangible non-produced assets that may be used in the production of goods and services, as well as intangible non-produced assets (SNA 93, paragraphs 10.120-10.130; ESA 95, paragraphs 6.06-6.13) – are also covered.

$$KT_{dik,dik} = d91_{dik,dik} * D91P_{dik} + D92R_{dik} * d92_{dik,dik} + D99R_{dik} * d99_{dik,dik} \quad (3.58)$$

$$KT_{rw,dik} = D92P_{rw,dik} + D99P_{rw,dik} + K2_{rw,dik} \quad (3.59)$$

$$KT_{dik,rw} = D92R_{dik} * d92rw_{dik,rw} + D99R_{dik} * d99rw_{dik,rw} \quad (3.60)$$

$$D91P_{dik} = tk_{dik} * D99R_{dik} \quad (3.61)$$

$$D92R_{dik} = cgfcf_{dik} * P51_{dik} \quad (3.62)$$

$$KTR_{dik} = \sum_{dik} KT_{dik,dik} \text{ (row sum)} \quad (3.63)$$

$$KTP_{dik} = \sum_{dik} KT_{dik,dik} \text{ (column sum)} \quad (3.64)$$

⁶ Capital transfers are different from current transfers because they involve the acquisition or disposal of an asset, or assets, by at least one of the parties to the transaction. Whether made in cash or in kind, such transfers should result in a commensurate change in the financial, or non-financial, assets shown in the balance sheets of one or both parties to the transaction (ESA 95, paragraphs 4.145).

3.2.9. Gross Saving

Gross saving measures the portion of aggregate income that is not used for final consumption expenditure and current transfers to Portuguese institutions or to the rest of the world (saving: SNA 93, paragraphs 9.17-9.20; ESA 95, paragraph 8.96).

Savings will therefore be the part of the disposable income (DI) that is not consumed and will represent the current budget balance of domestic institutions.

$$S_{dic} = (1 - apc_{dic}) * DI_{dic} \quad (3.65)$$

$$S_{dik,dic} = si_{dik,dic} * S_{dic} \quad (3.66)$$

$$S_{dik} = \sum_{dik} S_{dik,dic} \quad (3.67)$$

3.2.10. Financial Transactions

Financial transactions (F1-7 of the National Accounts) are transactions in financial assets and liabilities between institutional units, and between these and the rest of the world. They are classified as monetary gold and special drawing rights; currency and deposits; securities other than shares; loans; shares and other equity; insurance technical reserves; and other accounts receivable/payable.

The outlays (expenditures) side of the (financial) account records changes in the assets, i.e. acquisitions less disposals of financial assets. The incomes (receipts) side of the same account records changes in liabilities and net worth, i.e. the incurrence of liabilities minus their repayment. The balancing item of the financial account, i.e. the net acquisition of financial assets minus the net incurrence of liabilities, is net lending (+)/net borrowing (-) (SNA 93, paragraphs 11.1-11.111; ESA 95, paragraphs 5.01-5.151) – this will be the only endogenous part of this block in this version of the model.

$$FTRW_{dif,rw} = FT_{rw,dif} + NLB_{dif} \quad (3.68)$$

3.3. Closure - Net borrowing/lending

The net lending (+) or borrowing (-) of the total economy is the sum of the net lending or borrowing of the institutional sectors. It represents the net resources that the total economy makes available to the rest of the world (if it is positive) or receives from the rest of the world (if it is negative). The net lending (+) or borrowing (-) of the total economy is equal (but with an opposite mathematical sign) to the net borrowing (-) or lending (+) of the rest of the world (ESA 95, paragraph 8.98).

Here, those amounts that fall short of (+) or exceed (-) the investment funds used to cover aggregate investment are registered in the capital and financial accounts, since they are financial transactions either from (in the case of net borrowing) or to (in the case of net lending) the rest of the world – this is why the mathematical signs defined in the first paragraph of this item (ESA 95, paragraph 8.98) have been exchanged.

The net borrowing/lending represents the total budget balance of domestic institutions – a deficit in the case of net borrowing and a surplus in the case of net lending.

$$NLB_{dik,dif} = AINV_{dik} - (S_{dik} + KTR_{dik} + KT_{dik,rw}) \quad (3.69)$$

$$NLB_{dif} = \sum_{dik} NLB_{dik,dif} \quad (3.70)$$

3.4. Clearing

3.4.1. Row totals

Aggregate factors income (received):

$$AFIR_{fle} = GAV_{fle} + CFR_{fle,rw} \quad (3.71)$$

$$AFIR_{foal} = GAV_{foal} \quad (3.72)$$

$$AFIR_{foak} = GAV_{foak} + CFR_{foak,rw} \quad (3.73)$$

$$\text{Production value: } VPT_a = \sum_p VP_{ap} \quad (3.74)$$

Aggregate demand:

$$AD_p = VIC_p + FC_p + GCF_p + EX_{p,rw} \quad (3.75)$$

Aggregate income:

$$AI_{dic} = GNI_{dic} + NTA_{dic} + NTP_{dic} + CTR_{dic} + CT_{dic,rw} \quad (3.76)$$

Investment funds:

$$INV_{dik} = S_{dik} + KTR_{dik} + NLB_{dik,dif} + KT_{dik,rw} \quad (3.77)$$

Total financial transactions:

$$TFTR_{dif} = FT_{dif,dif} + FTRW_{dif,rw} \quad (3.78)$$

Value of transactions to the rest of the world:

$$\begin{aligned} TVRWP_{rw} = & \sum_{fle} CFS_{rw,fle} + CFS_{rw,foak} + \sum_a NTA_{rw,a} + \sum_p (NTP_{rw,p} + IM_{rw,p}) + \\ & + \sum_{dic} (CT_{rw,dic} + FC_{rw,dic}) + \sum_{dik} KT_{rw,dik} + FT_{rw,dif} \end{aligned} \quad (3.79)$$

3.4.2. Column totals

Aggregate factors income (paid):

$$AFIP_{fle} = GNI_{fle} + CFS_{rw,fle} \quad (3.80)$$

$$AFIP_{foal} = GNI_{foal} \quad (3.81)$$

$$AFIP_{foak} = GNI_{foak} + CFS_{rw,foak} \quad (3.82)$$

Total costs:

$$VCT_a = GAV_a + VIC_a + NTA_a + NTA_{rw,a} \quad (3.83)$$

Aggregate supply:

$$AS_p = VP_p + TMT_p + NTP_p + IM_{rw,p} \quad (3.84)$$

Aggregate income:

$$AIP_{dic} = FC_{dic} + CTP_{dic} + S_{dic} + (CT_{rw,dic} + FC_{rw,dic}) \quad (3.85)$$

Aggregate investment:

$$AINV_{dik} = GCF_{dik} + KTP_{dik} + KT_{rw,dik} \quad (3.86)$$

Total financial transactions:

$$TFTP_{dif} = NLB_{dif} + FT_{dif,dif} + FT_{rw,dif} \quad (3.87)$$

Value of transactions from the rest of the world:

$$TVRWR_{rw} = \sum_{fle} CFR_{fle,rw} + CFR_{foa,rw} + \sum_p EX_{p,rw} + \sum_{dic} CT_{dic,rw} + \sum_{dik} KT_{dik,rw} + FTRW_{dif,rw} \quad (3.88)$$

3.4.3. Row totals = column totals

$$AFIR_{fle} = AFIP_{fle} \quad (3.89)$$

$$AFIR_{foal} = AFIP_{foal} \quad (3.90)$$

$$AFIR_{foak} = AFIP_{foak} \quad (3.91)$$

$$VPT_a = VCT_a \quad (3.92)$$

$$AD_p = AS_p \quad (3.93)$$

$$AI_{dic} = AIP_{dic} \quad (3.94)$$

$$INV_{dik} = AINV_{dik} \quad (3.95)$$

$$TFTR_{dif} = TFTP_{dif} \quad (3.96)$$

$$TVRWP_{rw} = TVRWR_{rw} \quad (3.97)$$

4. Macroeconomic aggregates and balances

From the two versions of the SAM that have already been defined, it is possible to deduce macroeconomic aggregates, such as the ones described below.

Gross domestic product at market prices:

$$GDP = \sum_a GAV_a + NTP + NTA \quad (4.1)$$

GDP = 80,827 million euros, from the numerical version.

Gross national income (at market prices):

$$GNIMP = GNI + \sum_{dic} NTP_{dic} + \sum_{dic} NTA_{dic} \quad (4.2)$$

GNIMP = 80,479 million euros, from the numerical version.

Gross disposable income:

$$DI = \sum_{dic} DI_{dic} \quad (4.3)$$

$$DI_{dic} = GNI_{dic} + NTA_{dic} + NTP_{dic} + CTR_{dic} + CT_{dicrw} - CTP_{dic} - CT_{rwdic} \quad (4.4)$$

DI = 83,517 million euros, from the numerical version.

Gross saving:

$$S = \sum_{dic} S_{dic} \quad (4.5)$$

S = 17,291 million euros, from the numerical version.

Net lending/borrowing (of the economy): NLB_{dif}

From the numerical version, the Portuguese economy had a net borrowing of 40 million euros (0.05% of GDP).

On the other hand, the main items in the budget of all institutions, namely of the government, can be calculated from the respective accounts. Thus: the total budget balance is the respective net lending/borrowing – $NLB_{dik,dif}$; the current budget balance is the respective gross saving – S_{dik} ; and the capital budget balance is the difference between the first and the second.

From the numerical version, Table 5 was constructed for the government and households – the same procedure could also be carried out for the other institutions.

From that table, as well as from Table 3 – cells: (28,33) – (32,33), it can be seen that the net borrowing of the government is almost completely covered by the net lending of households,

although all the other institutions have a relatively small amount of net lending. In other words, the government is the institution that has a total budget balance with a deficit, which is almost completely covered by the other institutions, with households being in a highly significant position, which is, however, not sufficient to avoid a net borrowing for the economy of 40 million euros (0.05% of GDP). In terms of the current balance, or gross saving (see also Table 3 – cells: (28,20) – (32,27)), the government is again the institution that has a deficit, although, within households, the group labelled as “others” (those whose main source of income is not wages and salaries, mixed income including property income or income in connection with old age) also has a deficit. Therefore, with the exception of the government, all the institutions covered their needs in terms of investment funds, as well as a substantial part of those of the government.

From Table 5, it is easy to see how current transfers from Portuguese institutions and net taxes on products are the main sources of the government’s receipts, while current transfers to Portuguese institutions and final consumption are the main sources of its expenditure. In the case of households, the income generated by these (or gross national income) and final consumption are, respectively, the main sources of receipts and expenditure.

In turn, the main items of the balance of payments can also be calculated from the rest of the world account. However, this will not be done here, because the relations with the rest of the world were not considered relevant within the framework of this paper – see Santos, 2006.

Table 5. The Government and Households Budget in the Portuguese SAM for 1995 (in millions of euros)

	Resources or Receipts (row)			Uses or Expenditure (column)			Balance	
		Government	Households		Government	Households	Government	Households
1. Current Account (a)		31 081	76 413		32 742	68 461	- 1661	7 952
	Gross National Income at factor cost (a)	- 2558	59 614	Final Consumption	15 032	49 905		
	Net taxes on production	- 346	-	Current transfers to Portuguese institutions	17 371	18 141		
	Net taxes on products	10 283	-	Current transfers to the RW	339	416		
	Current transfers from Portuguese institutions	23 092	13 506					
	Current transfers from the RW	609	3 293					
2. Capital Account		3 375	1 166		6 136	5 095	- 2 761	- 3929
	Capital transfers from Portuguese institutions	2 100	1 018	Gross Capital Formation	3 018	5 755		
	Capital transfers from the RW	1 275	147	Capital transfers to Portuguese institutions	3 075	63		
				Capital transfers to the RW	43	- 723		
3 = 1 + 2 (b)		34 456	77 579		38 878	73 556	- 4 423	4 023

Source: Table 2 (rows/columns 18 and 23)

(a) Balance = Gross saving (S_{dikg} for government; S_{dikh} for households).

(b) Balance = Net lending (+)/borrowing (-) ($NLB_{dikg,dif}$ for government; $NLB_{dikh,dif}$ for households).

5. The structural indicators of the distribution and use of income

Considering that the distributional relationships across production sectors or activities and social groups are determined by the macro behaviour, which, in turn, is determined by the behaviour of individuals within and on behalf of institutions, this means that if we are to study the distributional impact of exogenous shocks resulting from any policy, as proposed in the Introduction (Section 1) and exemplified in Section 6, it is important to have some indicators that, in addition to the macroeconomic aggregates and balances, synthesise that impact as much as possible. Therefore, two aspects will be considered: the distribution of generated income and the distribution and use of disposable income.

Due to a lack of information about the total number of persons by groups of households, only the structures of the distribution and use of income will be considered here – leaving the identification of inequality for a subsequent stage.

A. Distribution of generated income

A.1. Among factors of production and activities

The functional distribution of income can be studied here through an analysis of the division of gross added value at factor cost (excluding indirect taxes) between labour and capital, disaggregated by activity. It is also important to distinguish between types of labour (Dervis et al., 1982) – in this case by the level of education of workers.

$$\text{Digav}_{\text{fle},a} = (D1_a / \text{GAV}_a) * 100 \quad (5.1)$$

$$\text{Digav}_{\text{foal},a} = (B3g_a / \text{GAV}_a) * 100 \quad (5.2)$$

$$\text{Digav}_{\text{foak},a} = (B2g_a / \text{GAV}_a) * 100 \quad (5.3)$$

$$\text{Digav}_{\text{fle}} = (\sum_a D1_a / \sum_a \text{GAV}_a) * 100 \quad (5.4)$$

$$\text{Digav}_{\text{foal}} = (\sum_a B3g_a / \sum_a \text{GAV}_a) * 100 \quad (5.5)$$

$$\text{Digav}_{\text{foak}} = (\sum_a B2g_a / \sum_a \text{GAV}_a) * 100 \quad (5.6)$$

$$\text{Digavfle}_{\text{fle},a} = (\text{GAV}_{\text{fle},a} / D1_a) * 100 \quad (5.7)$$

$$\text{Digavfoal}_{\text{foal},a} = (\text{GAV}_{\text{foal},a} / B3g_a) * 100 \quad (5.8)$$

$$\text{Digavfle}_{\text{fle}} = (\text{GAV}_{\text{fle}} / \sum_a D1_a) * 100 \quad (5.9)$$

$$\text{Digavfoal}_{\text{foal}} = (\text{GAV}_{\text{foal}} / \sum_a B3g_a) * 100 \quad (5.10)$$

The following tables were constructed from the numerical version of the SAM – the links to the algebraic version are shown between brackets.

Table 6. Distribution of gross added value, at factor cost, among factors of production and activity, in the Portuguese SAM for 1995 (in percentage terms).

		<i>a1</i>	<i>a2</i>	<i>a3</i>	<i>a4</i>	<i>a5</i>	<i>a6</i>	Total
Labour – employees (Digav _{fle,a} ; Digav _{fle} , for Total)		16.4	53.5	52.9	46.5	43.0	80.0	54.5
Own assets	Labour – employers and/or own-account workers (Digav _{foal,a} ; Digav _{foal} , for Total)	61.4	1.8	2.0	6.4	2.6	6.3	7.5
	Capital (Digav _{foak,a} ; Digav _{foak} , for Total)	22.2	44.8	45.0	47.1	54.4	13.7	38.0
Total		100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Source: Table 3.

Table 7. Distribution of gross added value, at factor cost, generated by labour by the level of education of workers and activity, in the Portuguese SAM for 1995 (in percentage terms).

		<i>a1</i>	<i>a2</i>	<i>a3</i>	<i>a4</i>	<i>a5</i>	<i>a6</i>	Total
Employees with (Digav _{fle,a} ; Digav _{fle} , for (row) Total)	low education level	72.5	55.2	86.7	58.9	34.2	33.1	48.3
	medium education level	24.4	33.6	5.6	27.9	34.8	40.9	33.0
	high education level	3.1	11.2	7.8	13.2	31.0	26.0	18.7
	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Employers and/or own-account workers with (Digav _{foal,a} ; Digav _{foal} , for (row) Total)	low education level	61.8	59.3	89.0	60.3	25.3	40.1	55.7
	medium education level	35.8	25.5	4.5	23.8	38.5	41.3	33.3
	high education level	2.5	15.2	6.5	15.8	36.2	18.6	11.0
	Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

Source: Table 3.

Key to activities:

a1 – agriculture, hunting and forestry; fishing and operation of fish hatcheries and fish farms;

a2 – industry, including energy;

a3 – construction;

a4 – wholesale and retail trade, repair of motor vehicles and household goods, hotels and restaurants; transport and communications;

a5 – financial, real estate, renting and business activities;

a6 – other service activities.

Thus, wages and salaries, or the compensation of labour received by employees, represent 54.5% of generated income, whereas the compensation of labour received by the employers and/or own-account workers represents 7.5%. Within these two parts of generated income, almost half (48.3%), in the first case and more than half (55.7%), in the second case, is received by workers with a low education level while, in both cases, workers with a medium education level receive 33.3% and those with a high education level receive the remainder. Capital, therefore, represents 38% of generated income. This general structure is the result of a relative heterogeneity in the distributions (of generated income) among activities. The group of activities of “agriculture, hunting and forestry; fishing and operation of fish hatcheries and fish farms” (*a1*) is the one that contributes most to that heterogeneity, with wages and salaries representing only 16.4% and the compensation of labour received by employers and/or own-account workers representing 61.4% – resulting in one of the lowest shares for the compensation of capital (22.2%, after the other service activities – group *a6* – with 13.7%). This group of activities also has one of the highest shares of workers with a low education level (employees – 72.5% – and employers and/or own-account workers – 61.8%, after construction – group *a3* – with 86.9% and 89%, respectively).

A.2. Among institutions and socioeconomic groups, within households

By excluding from the gross added value at factor cost generated in the domestic economy the compensation of the factors of production sent to the rest of the world, and by including the compensation of the factors of production received from the rest of the world (in accordance with equation (3.17)), the gross national income is obtained and its institutional distribution can be studied.

$$\text{Digni}_{\text{dic}} = (\text{GNI}_{\text{dic}} / \text{GNI}) * 100 \quad (5.11)$$

Table 8. Distribution of gross national income, at factor cost, among institutions and socioeconomic groups, within households, in the Portuguese SAM for 1995 (in percentage terms).

Group of households (in accordance with the main source of income)		Other Institutions	
Employees	62.1 %	Non-financial corporations	16.4 %
Employers (including own account workers)	18.6 %	Financial corporations	2.5 %
Recipients of pensions	2.6 %	General government	-3.6 %
Others	1.2 %	Non-profit institutions	0.2 %

		serving households	
Total (households)	84.5 %	Total (all Institutions)	100.0 %

Source: Table 3.

As a result of what was seen with regard to the position of the compensation of labour in generated income, households receive 84.5% of gross national income, with 62.1% corresponding to the group whose main source of income is wages and salaries (employees). Non-financial corporations receive 16.4%, with the remainder being distributed amongst the other institutions and with the general government recording a negative share.

B. Distribution and use of disposable income, among institutions and socioeconomic groups, within households.

By excluding from gross national income the current transfers paid to other institutions and to the rest of the world, and by including the current transfers received from the other institutions and from the rest of the world and, in the case of the government, the net indirect taxes (in accordance with equation (4.4)), the institutional distribution of gross disposable income can also be studied. In turn, the use made of gross disposable income is divided into final consumption and saving, although non-financial and financial corporations do not have any final consumption.

$$Didi_{dic} = (DI_{dic} / DI) * 100 \quad (5.12)$$

$$UdiFC_{dic} = (FC_{dic} / DI_{dic}) * 100 \quad (5.13)$$

$$UdiS_{dic} = (S_{dic} / DI_{dic}) * 100 = 100 - UdiFC_{dic} \quad (5.14)$$

From the numerical version:

Table 9. Distribution and use of disposable income, among institutions and socioeconomic groups, within households, in the Portuguese SAM for 1995 (in percentage terms).

		Distribution of Disposable Income	Use of Disposable Income	
			Final Consumption	Saving
Group of households (in accordance with the main source of income)	Employees	41.9	98.2	1.8
	Employers (including own account workers)	16.1	52.4	47.6
	Recipients of pensions	9.6	86.6	13.4
	Others	1.8	108.0	- 8.0
	Total (households)	69.3	86.3	13.7

	Distribution of Disposable Income	Use of Disposable Income	
		Final Consumption	Saving
Non-financial corporations	11.2	0.0	100.0
Financial corporations	1.9	0.0	100.0
General government	16.0	112.4	-12.4
Non-profit institutions serving households	1.7	92.8	7.2
Total	100.0	79.3	20.7

Source: Table 3.

Therefore, households have 69.3% of disposable income, with the group whose main source of income is wages and salaries (employees) having 41.9%. The general government has a share of 16% (similar to the group of households whose main source of income is the compensation of labour received by employers, including own account workers), while the share of non-financial corporations is 11.2%; the other shares are less significant. Except in the case of the non-financial and financial corporations, final consumption absorbs the most significant part of disposable income, even exceeding it in the case of both the general government and the group “others” amongst the households (those whose main source of income is not wages and salaries, mixed income including property income or income in connection with old age).

More specific and exact conclusions would require specification of the households’ composition – number of workers by household, size, age composition, dependency ratios, etc. (Dervis et al., 1982).

6. Experiments and scenarios with the distributional impact of budget policies

Considering the framework, assumptions and purposes of this version of the algebraic SAM, two experiments were carried out involving current transfers from/to households.

Because the intention was to study the distributional impacts of government policies, two scenarios were defined. The first scenario (scenario A) considered a 1% reduction in the direct tax rate (t_i) paid by households to the government, while scenario B was based on a 1% increase in social benefits other than social transfers in kind ($D62P$) paid by the government to households.

With the help of Table 5 (and 3), it can be seen that in scenario A, the reduction in the current taxes on income, wealth, etc. will involve a leakage from the government's main source of receipts (current transfers from households) and an injection (of receipts, resulting from the reduction in expenditure) into one item of the expenditure of households (current transfers to the government), although not the most important one. In turn, the increase in social benefits other than social transfers in kind, occurring in scenario B, will involve an injection into one source of the receipts of households (current transfers from government), although not the most important one, and a leakage (of receipts, resulting from the increase in expenditure) from the main item of government expenditure (current transfers to households).

The immediate purpose of these two experiments is to improve the financial situation of households.

Table 10 shows the base values and the corresponding relative importance of the flows that were subjected to the above-mentioned shocks.

Table 10. Current taxes on income, wealth, etc. paid by households to the government and social benefits other than social transfers in kind paid by the government to households, in Portugal in 1995.

Group of households (in accordance with the main source of income)	Current taxes on income, wealth, etc. ^(a)		Social benefits other than social transfers in kind ^(c)	
	millions of euros	direct tax rate ^(b) (%)	millions of euros	% of DI ^(d)
Employees	4 201	8.5	2 206	6.3
Employers (including own account workers)	377	2.5	873	6.5
Recipients of pensions	294	3.0	6 156	77.0
Others	60	3.4	250	16.9
Total (households)	4 932	6.5	9 485	16.4

Source: *Instituto Nacional de Estatística* (Portuguese National Accounts and Portuguese Pilot SAM - National Accounting Matrix, for 1995)

Notes:

- (a) Transaction D5 of the National Accounts. See Section 2 for the methodology used in adjusting the total value to the values of the groups of households.
- (b) Current taxes on income, wealth, etc. paid by households to the government, per unit of received aggregate income (*ti*).
- (c) Transaction D62 of the National Accounts (*D62P*). See Section 2 for the methodology used in adjusting the total value to the values of the groups of households.

- (d) Social benefits other than social transfers in kind paid by the government to households, per unit of disposable income of households.

The framework within which scenario A will be defined and the first experiment performed shows that the direct taxes, or the current taxes on income, wealth, etc. paid by households, represent 6.5% of their aggregate income (households pay 68.9% of the direct taxes paid by all the institutions). Employees pay 8.5% of their aggregate income, which is the highest direct rate within the groups of households (they also pay 58.7% of the direct taxes paid by all the institutions and 85.2% of those paid by households). Employers and own account workers pay the lowest rate: 2.5%.

Scenario B will be defined within a framework in which social benefits other than social transfers in kind represent 16.4% of the disposable income of households, with the recipients of pensions having the largest share in the case of this item, with such benefits being responsible for 77% of their disposable income (the corresponding amount is 25% higher than the direct taxes paid by households).

At the level of macroeconomic aggregates and balances, as defined in Section 4, the main impacts of the two scenarios can be seen in Table 11.

Table 11. Impacts (percentage change) on the macroeconomic aggregates of a reduction (of 1%) in the direct tax rate paid by households to the government – scenario A, and of an increase (of 1%) in the social benefits other than social transfers in kind received by households from the government – scenario B.

Macroeconomic Aggregates			Scenario A	Scenario B
Gross domestic product at market prices (GDP)			- 1.47 %	- 0.19 %
Gross national income (at market prices) (GNIMP)			- 1.47 %	- 0.19 %
Gross Disposable Income (DI), of:	Group of households (in accordance with the main source of income)	Employees	- 0.24 %	- 0.15 %
		Employers (including own account workers)	+ 0.07 %	- 0.07 %
		Recipients of pensions	+ 1.13 %	+ 0.73 %
		Others	+ 0.54 %	+ 0.08 %
		Total (households)	+ 0.04 %	0.00 %
	Non-financial corporations		- 1.18 %	- 0.17 %
	Financial corporations		- 2.73 %	- 0.35 %
	General government		- 7.90 %	- 0.97 %
	Non-profit institutions serving households		- 0.11 %	- 0.01 %
	Total		-1.42 %	- 0.18 %

Macroeconomic Aggregates	Scenario A	Scenario B
Gross Saving (S)	- 0.04 %	- 0.01 %
Net Borrowing of the economy (NLB)	+ 6.29 %	+ 4.63 %

Source: Table 3 and other data provided by the *Instituto Nacional de Estatística* (Portuguese National Accounts and Portuguese Pilot SAM – National Accounting Matrix, for 1995) for the construction of Table 3 and for the calculation of the parameters used in the model defined in Section 3 (from which the values shown in this table were derived).

Therefore, in scenario A, a reduction of 1% in the direct tax rate paid by households to the government resulted in a decrease of 1.47% in the gross domestic product at market prices (GDP), as well as, in the gross national income at market prices (GNIMP). The disposable income (DI) of households only increased by 0.04% and, curiously, employees, who pay the major share of direct taxes, are the only group of households that shows a decrease, due to the importance of generated income (gross national income, which decreased by 1.47%) in their disposable income. The other institutions showed a generalized decrease in their DI, particularly the government, with a decrease of 7.9%, which had a consequent negative impact on both demand and production. This situation is proved by the decreases in GDP and GNIMP, as mentioned above, as well as in the gross saving and net borrowing of the economy, the latter showing a significant increase of 6.29%.

The impacts in scenario B were not so significant, although the percentage changes almost always have the same mathematical sign. Thus, the increase of 1% in the social benefits other than social transfers in kind received by households from the government resulted in decreases of 0.19% in GDP and GNIMP, with the DI of households being maintained – resulting from decreases in employees (-0.15%) and employers (-0.07%) and increases in recipients of pensions (+0.73%) and others (+0.08%) – and a generalized decrease in the DI of the other institutions, with the highest value (-0.97%) being recorded by the government. The explanation for this process is identical to the one provided for scenario A, although in this case the effects on GDP and GNIMP (-0.19%) and on gross saving (+0.01%) were smaller, as well as on the net borrowing of the economy, which increased by 4.63%.

The dependence of final consumption on the gross disposable income of all domestic institutions, including the government, as well as the relationship between aggregate demand and production, are certainly the main causes of these results.

At the level of the balances, namely, at the level of the budgets of the institutions, the impacts on the current balances, expressed by gross saving, and on the total balances, expressed by the net lending/borrowing, are shown in Table 12.

Table 12. Impacts (percentage change) on the budget balances of the institutions of a reduction (of 1%) in the direct tax rate paid by households to the government – scenario A – and of an increase (of 1%) in the social benefits other than social transfers in kind received by households from the government – scenario B.

Budget Balances of the institutions			Scenario A	Scenario B
Current balance of:	Group of households (in accordance with the main source of income)	Employees	- 0.24 %	- 0.15 %
		Employers (including own account workers)	+ 0.07 %	- 0.07 %
		Recipients of pensions	+ 1.13 %	+ 0.73 %
		Others	+ 0.54 %	+ 0.08 %
		Total (households)	+ 0.18 %	+ 0.03 %
	Non-financial corporations		- 1.18 %	- 0.17 %
	Financial corporations		- 2.73 %	- 0.35 %
	General government		- 7.90 %	- 0.97 %
	Non-profit institutions serving households		- 0.11 %	- 0.01 %
	Total		- 0.04 %	- 0.01 %
Capital balance of:	Households		- 0.02 %	0.00 %
	Non-financial corporations		- 0.03 %	0.00 %
	Financial corporations		- 0.01 %	0.00 %
	General government		0.00 %	0.00 %
	Non-profit institutions serving households		- 0.09 %	- 0.07 %
	Total		- 0.02 %	0.00 %
Total balance of:	Households		+ 0.38 %	+ 0.06 %
	Non-financial corporations		- 215.73 %	- 30.40 %
	Financial corporations		- 14.74 %	- 1.89 %
	General government		- 2.97 %	- 0.36 %
	Non-profit institutions serving households		- 0.19 %	+ 0.18 %
	Total		+ 6.29 %	+ 4.63 %

Source: see Table 11.

As seen in Section 4, with the analysis that was made of Table 5, the government was the only institution with both current and total budget deficits (the group labelled as “others” within

the group of households also recorded a current deficit, but without this having any significant repercussions on the total current balance of households).

The mathematical signs of the percentage changes, representative of the impacts occurring in both scenarios, continue, in almost all cases, to be equal, albeit with smaller values in scenario B.

Therefore, reflecting the situation defined in Table 11, in scenario A the budget balances of the institutions show a generalized decrease, except in the case of the current balance of those households that do not belong to the group of employees. The current balance of the general government was the one that suffered the greatest impact, with a reduction in the current deficit resulting mainly from the impact of the reduction in disposable income on final consumption. The impacts at the level of the capital balance were not significant – which was expected, because the experiment was conducted with the flows of the current account. In terms of the total balance, the net lending of households recorded a slight improvement (0.38%), whereas that of financial corporations and non-profit institutions serving households worsened – the former significantly (- 14.74%) and the latter slightly (- 0.19%). In turn, the net lending of non-financial corporations was converted into net borrowing, although the net borrowing of the general government recorded a decrease of 2.97% – reflecting the decrease observed in the current deficit. All these fluctuations in the total budget balances resulted in an increase in the net borrowing of the economy of 6.29 %, as seen above.

Scenario B shows almost the same impacts, but with smaller values. In terms of the total budget balance, non-financial corporations maintain their net lending, although at a lower level, while the net borrowing of the general government records a slight decrease (- 0.36%) and the net lending of non-profit institutions serving households records a slight increase (+0.18%). The final result is again an increase in the net borrowing of the economy (4.63%), although not so significant as in scenario A.

Because the two experiments were performed using a version of the algebraic SAM with too many fixed parameters and exogenous variables, the structural changes were certainly not significant. Let us, however, look at the results.

Tables 6 and 7 showed that the compensation of labour received by employees represented 54.5%, whereas the compensation of labour received by employers and/or own-account workers represented 7.5% and the compensation of capital represented 38% of generated income. From Table 13, a slight improvement can be seen in the positions of the latter two

factors of production in detriment to the first – again with less significant impacts in scenario B, as seen before. Workers with high and medium education levels were worse affected than workers with a low education level.

Table 13. Impacts (percentage change) on the distribution of gross added value, at factor cost, among factors of production of a reduction (of 1%) in the direct tax rate paid by households to the government – scenario A – and of an increase (of 1%) in social benefits other than social transfers in kind received by households from the government – scenario B.

			Scenario A	Scenario B
Labour – employees, with:		low education level	0.0 %	0.0 %
		medium education level	- 0.9 %	- 0.1 %
		high education level	- 1.1 %	- 0.1 %
		Total	- 0.5 %	- 0.1 %
Own assets	Labour - employers and/or own-account workers, with:	low education level	+ 0.4 %	+ 0.1 %
		medium education level	+ 0.0 %	0.0 %
		high education level	- 0.4 %	- 0.1 %
		Total	+ 0.2 %	0.0 %
	Capital		+ 0,6 %	+ 0.1 %

Source: see Table 11.

Table 8 showed that households received 84.5% of gross national income, with 62.1% corresponding to the group whose main source of income was wages and salaries (employees). Non-financial corporations received 16.4%, with the remainder being distributed within the other institutions and with the general government recording a negative share. From Table 14, one can conclude that the two experiments generated scenarios in which the position of the institutions either did not change or registered a slight improvement – except, in scenario A, in the case of the households in general and of the group whose main source of income is wages and salaries (employees) in particular, and, in both scenarios, in the case of the general government, which recorded an increase in its negative position.

Table 14. Impacts (percentage change) on the distribution of gross national income, at factor cost, among institutions and socioeconomic groups of a reduction (of 1%) in the direct tax rate paid by households to the government – scenario A – and of an increase (of 1%) in the social benefits other than social transfers in kind received by households from the government – scenario B.

		Scenario A	Scenario B
Group of households (in accordance with the main source of income)	Employees	- 0.3 %	0.0 %
	Employers (including own account workers)	+ 0.4 %	0.1 %
	Recipients of pensions	+ 0.3 %	0.0 %
	Others	+ 0.1 %	0.0 %
	Total (households)	- 0.1 %	0.0 %
Non-financial corporations		+ 0.6 %	+ 0.1 %
Financial corporations		+ 0.6 %	+ 0.1 %
General government		+ 0.6 %	+ 0.1 %
Non-profit institutions serving households		+ 0.6 %	+ 0.1 %

Source: see Table 11.

In turn, Table 9 showed that households had 69.3% of disposable income, with the group whose main source of income is wages and salaries (employees) having 41.9%; the general government had a share of 16% (similar to the group of households whose main source of income is the compensation of labour received by employers, including own account workers) and the non-financial corporations had a share of 11.2%, the others being less significant.

The scenarios that resulted from the two experiments undertaken represent the impacts shown in Table 15. In fact, once again, both scenarios reveal similar impacts, albeit less significant in the case of scenario B, which improved the relative positions of households, non-financial corporations and non-profit institutions serving households in detriment to the other two, with special emphasis being given to the case of the general government. Mention should also be made of the positive impact that was noted in the relative position of the group of households whose main source of income is connected with old age (recipients of pensions).

Table 15. Impacts (percentage change) on the distribution of the disposable income of the institutions of a reduction (of 1%) in the direct tax rate paid by households to the government – scenario A – and of an increase (of 1%) in the social benefits other than social transfers in kind received by households from the government – scenario B.

		Scenario A	Scenario B
Group of households (in accordance with the main source of income)	Employees	+ 1.20 %	+ 0.03 %
	Employers (including own account workers)	+ 1.51 %	+ 0.11 %
	Recipients of pensions	+ 2.59 %	+ 0.92 %
	Others	+ 1.99 %	+ 0.26 %
	Total (households)	+ 1.48 %	+ 0.18 %
non-financial corporations		+ 0.25%	+ 0.02 %
financial corporations		- 1.32 %	- 0.17 %
general government		- 6.58 %	- 0.79 %
non-profit institutions serving households		+ 1.33 %	+ 0.17 %

Source: see Table 11.

Thus, in this first approach to the question, the two experiments were conducted with the purpose of improving the financial situation of households; however, the scenarios that were generated show that not only did the situation of both households and the general government worsen, but so did the situation of the whole economy.

7. Summary and concluding remarks

This paper has presented numerical and algebraic versions of a SAM with an application to Portugal. In the former, each cell assumed a specific numerical value, with the sums of the rows being equal to the sums of the columns. In the latter version, each cell was represented by algebraic expressions that, together with those of all the other cells, represent a SAM-based model, the calibration of which involved a replication of the numerical version.

The underlying idea was that of Pyatt (1991) in the following text:

“... a SAM is a framework both for models of how the economy works as well as for data which monitor its workings. Recognition of this duality is of basic importance for quantitative analysis. It implies, *inter alia*, that the accounting identities which are captured by a SAM are not to be regarded simply as consistency requirements which must

be imposed on a model, but rather they should be seen as a logical consequence of the paradigms which economists have adopted for analyzing society.”

A study was undertaken at a macroeconomic level using the national accounts, within an ESA (95) framework, as the basic source of information.

Using the flexibility of the numerical version, through a top-down approach, additional sources were used to disaggregate the macro-SAM, with the RAS method having been used to adjust them to those of the basic source. In this way, therefore, the consistency of the whole system was not lost. This disaggregation was carried out by considering, on the one hand, the aim of studying the impacts of government policies on the distribution of income and, on the other hand, the data available for this purpose.

The definition of the algebraic version involved an identification of the national accounting transactions and their inclusion, with all possible details, in the characterising equations of each cell. This version should only be considered as a starting point and in the future the equations that have been defined will be tested and the parameters econometrically estimated, from time series of the national accounts transactions. Underlying paradigms should also be revised.

Macroeconomic aggregates and balances, as well as structural indicators of the distribution and use of income, were also calculated and formalised from both versions of the SAM, thereby providing an improved knowledge of the quantifiable side of the studied economy. A number of aspects were identified, such as the following:

- the government is the institution that has a total budget balance with a deficit (represented by its net borrowing), which is almost completely covered by the other institutions, with households having an important share, although this was, however, insufficient to avoid a net borrowing for the economy of 40 million euros (0.05% of GDP);
- current transfers from Portuguese institutions and net taxes on products are the main sources of receipts for the general government, whereas current transfers to Portuguese institutions and final consumption (a substantial part of this is transformed into transfers to households in kind) are its main items of expenditure;
- the income generated by households (or gross national income) and their final consumption are the main sources of receipts and expenditure, respectively;
- the compensation of labour received by employees represents 54.5% of generated income, whereas the compensation of labour received by employers and/or own-account workers represents 7.5% – a large proportion of these workers have a low education level;

- the above-mentioned functional distribution of income also contributes with a share of 38% to the capital;
- households receive 84.5% of generated income and have 69.3% of disposable income; the group whose main source of income is wages and salaries (employees) is the most representative;
- final consumption absorbs the most significant part of the disposable income of institutions, except for the non-financial and financial corporations, even exceeding it in the case of the general government and of the group “others” amongst the households (those whose main source of income is not wages and salaries, mixed income including property income or income in connection with old age).

With the initial aim of improving the financial situation of households, two scenarios were defined and two experiments were performed in order to measure and identify impacts: a reduction of 1% in the direct tax rate paid by households to the government – scenario A; an increase of 1% in the social benefits other than social transfers in kind paid by the government to households – scenario B. Both of the impacts generated had similar mathematical signs, although the effects of scenario B were smaller. The direct effect of the two above-mentioned shocks on the (reduction of the) receipts of the general government had direct consequences for its final consumption, which, in turn, had obvious repercussions on both final demand and supply. The gross domestic product and gross national income (at market prices) then decreased, with consequences at the level of disposable income, consumption and saving (the current budget balance), as well as at the level of net lending/borrowing (the total budget balance). Particular emphasis is laid on the net borrowing of the economy, which increased by 6.29% in scenario A and by 4.63% in scenario B. Therefore, from the algebraic version of the SAM that was defined, the two experiments showed that the intention of improving the financial situation of households resulted in a worse overall situation, not only for the households themselves, but also for the economy in general and for all the other institutions, especially the general government.

Details were specified in order to provide the information needed not only to perform a similar exercise in other applications, but also to easily detect all the underlying failures, inconsistencies, errors, etc. The choice of experiments whose results can be compared with reality (which is not this case) is also very important. In the author’s view, this is the way in which a work such as this one can be developed and improved upon.

Modelling techniques can be considered as a support of (socio-)economic theory, so that better and more stable empirical evidence can help in the (re-)evaluation of this theory or even in the (re-)orientation of the way in which reality has traditionally been defined and conceptualised. The SAM, in both its versions, can be a valuable working instrument for these purposes.

At the same time, by using a working instrument such as the SAM, the design of policy can be based on a more positive and less normative analysis.

The potentialities and possibilities for further study provided by the SAM were emphasised, and, in this context, the author would like to encourage the authorities to include the teaching of its methodology in the curricula of courses in secondary and higher education, in the areas related with social sciences.

References

- Dervis K. et al. (1982), *General Equilibrium Models for Development Policy*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (UK), 526 pp.
- Drud A. et al. (1986), “Macroeconomic Modelling Based on Social Accounting Principles”, *Journal of Policy Modeling* 8: 111-145.
- Eurostat (1996), *European System of Accounts (ESA 95)*. Eurostat, Luxembourg.
- IWSG - Inter-Secretariat Working Group (1993), *System of National Accounts (SNA 93)*. Commission of the European Communities – Eurostat, Brussels/Luxembourg; International Monetary Fund, Washington, DC; Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development, Paris; United Nations, Statistical Office, New York; World Bank, Washington DC.
- LEG - Leadership Group on SAM (2003), “Handbook on Social Accounting Matrices and Labour Accounts”, Eurostat Working Papers, Theme – Population and Social Conditions 3/2003/E/N 23, Luxembourg, 190 pp.
- Pyatt G. (1988), “A SAM Approach to Modeling”, *Journal of Policy Modeling* 10: 327-352.

- Pyatt G. (1991), “Fundamentals of Social Accounting”, *Economic Systems Research* 3: 315-341.
- Pyatt G. and Roe A. (1977), *Social Accounting for Development Planning with special reference to Sri Lanka*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 190 pp.
- Pyatt G. and Round J. (1985), Accounting and Fixed Price Multipliers in a Social Accounting Matrix Framework, in Pyatt G. and Round, J. (eds.) *Social Accounting Matrices. A Basis for Planning*. A World Bank Symposium, The World Bank, Washington, DC 186-206; also in *Economic Journal* 89 (356): 850-873, 1979.
- Round J. (2003), “Constructing SAMs for Development Policy Analysis: Lessons Learned and Challenges Ahead”, *Economic Systems Research* 15: 161-183.
- Santos S. (1999), “The Social Accounting Matrix as a working instrument for defining economic policy. Its application to Portugal during the period 1986-90, with emphasis on the agro-industrial sector”, PhD dissertation, ISEG-TU Lisboa, Lisbon, 309 pp. (only available in Portuguese).
- Santos S. (2001), “The importance of the Social Accounting Matrix. Its application to Portugal during the period 1990-95”, ISEG-TU Lisboa – Seminar of the Department of Economics, Lisbon. 66 pp.
- Santos S. (2003), “Quantitative analysis of the economic flows between Portugal and the other European Union Member States and Institutions in 1997”, Working Paper No. 2/2003, Centre of Research on European and International Economics - ISEG-TU Lisboa, Lisbon, 44 pp.
- Santos S. (2003a), “Social Accounting Matrices for Portugal in 1998-99. Modelling the effects of changes in government receipts and expenditure”, Working Paper No. 7/2003/ Department of Economics/Research Unit on Complexity and Economics - ISEG-TU Lisboa, Lisbon, 51 pp.
- Santos S. (2004), “Portuguese net borrowing and the government budget balance. A SAM approach”, *Journal of Policy Modeling* 26: 703-717.

Santos S. (2004a), “Distribution of aggregate income in Portugal from 1995 to 2000 within a SAM framework. Modelling the household sector”, Working Paper No. 12/2004/ Department of Economics/Research Unit on Complexity and Economics - ISEG-TU Lisboa, Lisbon, 33 pp.

Santos S. (2005), “Social Accounting Matrix and the System of National Accounts: An Application”, Higher Institute of Economics and Business Administration. Working Paper No. 14/2005/ Department of Economics/Research Unit on Complexity and Economics - ISEG-TU Lisboa, Lisbon, 41 pp.

Santos S. (2005a), “Effects of changes in flows of funds between Government and households. A SAM approach to Portugal”. EconWPA-GE (Working Paper Archive in Economics – General Equilibrium) 0507002, 40 pp.

Santos S. (2006), “Constructing a Database for Economic Modelling from the System of National Accounts: a Social Accounting Matrix for Portugal”. Working Paper Series – SSRN (Social Science Research Network) abstract=916089, 76 pp.

Santos S. (2007), “Modelling Economic Circuit Flows in a Social Accounting Matrix Framework. An Application to Portugal”. *Applied Economics* 39: 1753-1771.

Taylor L. and Arnim R. (2006), “Modelling the Impact of Trade Liberalisation. A Critique of Computable General Equilibrium Models”, Oxfam International Research Report, New School for Social Research, New York, 61 pp.

Appendix. Conventions and declarations

Sets (set indices: lower-case subscripts)

f ε Factors of production

- Labour – employees (**fle**) [with low education level (**flel**), with medium education level (**flem**), with high education level (**fleh**)]
- Own assets (**foa**)
 - Labour – employers and/or own-account workers (foal) [with low education level (**foall**), with medium education level (**foalm**), with high education level (**foalh**)]
 - Capital - interests, profits, rents (**foak**)

a ε Activities [agriculture, hunting and forestry; fishing and operation of fish hatcheries and fish farms (group 1, **a1**); industry, including energy (group 2, **a2**); construction (group 3, **a3**); wholesale and retail trade, repair of motor vehicles and household goods, hotels and restaurants; transport and communications (group 4, **a4**); financial, real-estate, renting and business activities (group 5, **a5**); other service activities (group 6, **a6**)]

p ε Products [products of agriculture, hunting, forestry, fisheries and aquaculture (group 1, **p1**); products from mining and quarrying, manufactured products and energy products (group 2, **p2**); construction work (group 3, **p3**); wholesale and retail trade services, repair services, hotel and restaurant services, transport and communication services (group 4, **p4**); financial intermediation services, real estate, renting and business services (group 5, **p5**); other services (group 6, **p6**)]

di ε Domestic Institutions

- **dic** (current account of di) [households (**dich**): employees (group 1, **dich1**), employers and own account workers (group 2, **dich2**), recipients of pensions (group 3, **dich3**), others (group 4; **dich4**); non-financial corporations (**dicnfc**); financial corporations (**dicfc**); general government (**dicg**); non-profit institutions serving households (**dicnp-NPISHs**)]
- **dik** (capital account of di) [households (**dikh**), non-financial corporations (**diknfc**), financial corporations (**dikfc**), general government (**dikg**), and non-profit institutions serving households (**diknp-NPISHs**)]
- **dif** (financial account of di)

rw ε rest of the world

In variables and parameters with **two indices**, the **first** represent the **row** and the **second** the **column accounts** (both indices may be equal).

Parameters (lower-case, italics)

$\alpha ..$	share of the production of each group of activities in the value of production of each group of products
$\beta ..$	proportion of gross added value in the value of production of each group of activities
$\gamma ..$	proportion of intermediate consumption in the value of production of each group of activities
$adv..$	share of the value of acquisitions less disposals of valuables of each group of products by each group of domestic institutions in the total value of acquisitions less disposals of valuables by these institutions
$adv_c ..$	coefficient of acquisitions less disposals of valuables: amount expended by each group of domestic institutions on acquisitions less disposals of valuables per unit of gross saving
$apc ..$	average propensity to consume of each group of domestic institutions: amount of final consumption per unit of (gross) disposable income
$b2gp..$	proportion of capital compensation (gross operating surplus) in labour compensation
$b3s..$	share of compensation of employers and/or own-account workers (gross mixed income) in the gross added value
$ce ..$	coefficient of main source of income of domestic institutions (households) recipients of compensation of employees
$cgfcf ..$	rate of coverage of gross fixed capital formation of each group of domestic institutions by investment grants received by these institutions
$chinv ..$	share of the value of changes in inventories of each group of products by each group of domestic institutions in the total value of changes in inventories of that group of products
$chinv_c ..$	coefficient of changes in inventories: amount of change in inventories of each group of products per unit of supply

<i>clr ..</i>	share of compensation of employees paid by activities and sent to the rest of the world
<i>coa ..</i>	coefficient of main source of income of domestic institutions (households) recipients of compensation of employers and/or own-account workers
<i>d1s ..</i>	share of compensation of employees in the gross added value
<i>d5s ..</i>	share of current tax on income, wealth, etc. paid by each group of domestic institutions to each group of domestic institutions (Portuguese general government), in the total of current tax on income, wealth, etc. paid by the former
<i>d61s ..</i>	share of social contributions paid by each group of domestic institutions to each group of domestic institutions in the total of social contributions paid by the former
<i>d62s ..</i>	share of social benefits other than social transfers in kind paid by each group of domestic institutions to each group of domestic institutions in the total of social benefits other than social transfers in kind paid by the former
<i>d62rws..</i>	share of social benefits other than social transfers in kind paid by each group of domestic institutions to the rest of the world in the total of social benefits other than social transfers in kind paid by the former
<i>d7 ..</i>	share of other current transfers paid by each group of domestic institutions to each group of domestic institutions in the total of other current transfers paid by the former
<i>d7rws ..</i>	share of other current transfers paid by each group of domestic institutions to the rest of the world in the total of social benefits other than social transfers in kind paid by the former
<i>d91 ..</i>	share of capital taxes paid by each group of domestic institutions (households) to each group of domestic institutions (Portuguese general government) in the total of capital taxes paid by the former
<i>d92..</i>	share of investment grants paid by each group of domestic institutions (Portuguese general government) to each group of domestic institutions in the total of investment grants received by the latter
<i>d92rw..</i>	share of investment grants paid by the rest of the world to each group of domestic institutions in the total of investment grants received by the latter

<i>d99..</i>	share of other capital transfers paid by each group of domestic institutions to each group of domestic institutions in the total of other capital transfers received by the latter
<i>d99rw..</i>	share of other capital transfers paid by the rest of the world to each group of domestic institutions in the total of other capital transfers received by the latter
<i>fcs ..</i>	proportion of expenditure on final consumption in each group of products in the total value of the final consumption of each group of domestic institutions
<i>fcsrw ..</i>	proportion of expenditure on final consumption in the rest of the world in the total value of the final consumption of each group of domestic institutions
<i>gfcf ..</i>	share of the value of gross fixed capital formation in each group of products by each group of domestic institutions in the total value of gross fixed capital formation by these institutions
<i>icp ..</i>	coefficient of the intermediate consumption of products: proportion of intermediate consumption of each group of products per unit of intermediate consumption of each group of activities
<i>ntag ..</i>	share of net taxes on production paid by each group of activities and received by domestic institutions (Portuguese general government)
<i>ntarw ..</i>	share of net taxes on production paid by each group of activities and received by the rest of the world (European Union institutions)
<i>ntpg ..</i>	share of net taxes on each group of products received by domestic institutions (Portuguese general government)
<i>ntprw ..</i>	share of net taxes on each group of products received by the rest of the world (European Union institutions)
<i>sc ..</i>	social contribution rate: social contributions paid by domestic institutions, per unit of received gross national income
<i>si ..</i>	saving identity special
<i>sk..</i>	share of compensation of capital received by domestic institutions
<i>ti ..</i>	direct tax rate: current taxes on income, wealth, etc. paid by domestic institutions, per unit of received aggregate income
<i>tk ..</i>	rate of capital tax levied on other capital transfers received by domestic institutions

<i>tm ..</i>	rate of trade and transport margins on each group of domestically transacted products: amount of trade and transport margins per unit of value of domestically transacted products
<i>tmc ..</i>	trade and transport margins coefficient of correction
<i>tp ..</i>	(net) tax rate on each group of products: amount of (net) taxes on products per unit of the value of domestically transacted products

Exogenous variables (upper-case, at least the first letter, italics)

<i>CFR..</i>	compensation of the factors of production received from the rest of the world
<i>CFS..</i>	compensation of the factors of production sent to the rest of the world
<i>DIRW..</i>	compensation of employees (transaction D1 of the National Accounts) received from the rest of the world
<i>D4PRW ..</i>	property income (transaction D4 of the National Accounts) sent to the rest of the world
<i>D4RW ..</i>	property income (transaction D4 of the National Accounts) received from the rest of the world
<i>D62P ..</i>	social benefits other than social transfers in kind (transaction D62 of the National Accounts) paid by domestic institutions
<i>D62RW ..</i>	social benefits other than social transfers in kind (transaction D62 of the National Accounts) received by domestic institutions from the rest of the world
<i>D7P ..</i>	other current transfers (transaction D7 of the National Accounts) paid by domestic institutions
<i>D7RW ..</i>	other current transfers (transaction D7 of the National Accounts) received by domestic institutions from the rest of the world
<i>D8 ..</i>	adjustment made for the change in the net equity of households in pension fund reserves (transaction D8 of the National Accounts)
<i>D92P ..</i>	investment grants (transaction D92 of the National Accounts) paid by domestic institutions (Portuguese general government) to the rest of the world
<i>D99P ..</i>	other capital transfers (transaction D99 of the National Accounts) paid by domestic institutions to the rest of the world
<i>D99R ..</i>	other capital transfers (transaction D99 of the National Accounts) received by domestic institutions

<i>EX</i> ..	value of exports (transaction P6 of the National Accounts, at f.o.b. prices)
<i>FT</i> ..	financial transactions (transactions F1 to F7 of the National Accounts), except those received from the rest of the world
<i>IM</i> ..	value of imports (transaction P7 of the National Accounts, at c.i.f. prices)
<i>K2</i> ..	acquisitions less disposals of non-financial non-produced assets (transaction K2 of the National Accounts)
<i>NTAA</i> ..	net taxes on production paid by each group of activities
<i>P51</i> ..	value of gross fixed capital formation (transaction P51 of the National Accounts)

Endogenous variables (upper-case, at least the first letter, normal)

<i>AD</i> ..	value of aggregate demand (at market prices)
<i>AFIP</i> ..	aggregate factors income (paid)
<i>AFIR</i> ..	aggregate factors income (received)
<i>AI</i> ..	aggregate income (received)
<i>AINV</i> ..	aggregate investment
<i>AIP</i> ..	aggregate income (paid)
<i>AS</i> ..	aggregate supply (value at market prices)
<i>B2g</i> ..	gross operating surplus (balance B2g of the National Accounts)
<i>B3g</i> ..	gross mixed income (balance B3g of the National Accounts)
<i>CFS</i> ..	compensation of the factors of production sent to the rest of the world (except, property income sent to the rest of the world)
<i>CT</i> ..	current transfers
<i>CTP</i> ..	(total) current transfers paid by each group of domestic institutions to (all) domestic institutions
<i>CTR</i> ..	(total) current transfers received by each group of domestic institutions from (all) domestic institutions
<i>DI</i> ..	(gross) disposable income
<i>Didi</i> ..	percentage of gross disposable income received by domestic institutions
<i>Digav</i> ..	percentage of income generated by the factors production
<i>Digavfle</i> ..	percentage of income generated by employees, by level of education
<i>Digavfoal</i> ..	percentage of income generated by employers and/or own-account workers, by level of education

Digavfoak ..	indicator of the distribution of income (gross added value) generated by capital among activities (in percentage terms)
Digni..	percentage of generated income (gross national income) received by domestic institutions
DT..	value of domestically transacted products, at basic-c.i.f. prices
DTmp ..	value of domestically transacted products, at market prices
D1 ..	compensation of employees (transaction D1 of the National Accounts)
D5 ..	current taxes on income, wealth, etc. (transaction D5 of the National Accounts)
D61 ..	social contributions (transaction D61 of the National Accounts)
D91P ..	capital taxes (transaction D91 of the National Accounts) paid by domestic institutions
D92R ..	investment grants (transaction D92 of the National Accounts) received by domestic institutions
E ..	employed population
FC ..	value of final consumption (transaction P3 of the National Accounts), at market prices
FTRW ..	financial transactions (transactions F1 to F7 of the National Accounts) received by domestic institutions from the rest of the world
GAV ..	gross added value, at factor cost
GCF ..	value of gross capital formation (transaction P5 of the National Accounts), at market prices
GDP..	gross domestic product, at market prices
GNI ..	gross national income, at factor cost
GNIMP..	gross national income, at market prices
INV F ..	investment funds
KT ..	capital transfers
KTP ..	(total) capital transfers paid by each group of domestic institutions to (all) domestic institutions
KTR ..	(total) capital transfers received by each group of domestic institutions from (all) domestic institutions
NLB ..	net lending / borrowing
NTA ..	net taxes on production (transaction D29-D39 of the National Accounts)

NTP ..	net taxes on products (transaction D21-D31 of the National Accounts)
P52 ..	value of changes in inventories (transaction P52 of the National Accounts)
P53 ..	value of acquisitions less disposals of valuables (transaction P53 of the National Accounts)
S ..	gross saving
TFTP ..	total financial transactions (paid)
TFTR ..	total financial transactions (received)
TM ..	trade and transport margins (without correction)
TMc ..	trade and transport margins – correction
TMT ..	trade and transport margins with correction
TVRWP ..	value of transactions to the rest of the world
TVRWR ..	transactions value from the rest of the world
UdiFC ..	percentage of gross disposable income used in final consumption by domestic institutions
UdiS ..	percentage of gross disposable income used in (gross) saving by domestic institutions
VCT ..	value of total costs (at basic prices)
VIC ..	value of intermediate consumption (transaction P2 of the National Accounts) at market prices
VP ..	value of production (transaction P1 of the National Accounts), at basic prices
VPT..	total production value (at basic prices)